arXiv:1410.5294v1 [math.NT] 20 Oct 2014

On the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer for Abelian schemes over higher dimensional bases over finite fields

Timo Keller

October 21, 2014

Abstract

We formulate an analogue of the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer for Abelian schemes with everywhere good reduction over higher dimensional bases over finite fields. We prove some conditional results on it, and prove the conjecture for constant or isotrivial Abelian schemes.

Keywords: L-functions of varieties over global fields; Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture; Heights; Étale cohomology, higher regulators, zeta and L-functions

MSC 2010: 11G40, 11G50, 19F27

1 Introduction

If K is a global field, the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer for an Abelian variety A/K relates global invariants, like the rank of the Mordell-Weil group $A(K)$, the order of the Tate-Shafarevich group $Ш(A/K)$ and the determinant of the height pairing $A(K) \times A^\vee(K) \to \mathbf{R}$ to the vanishing order of the L-function $L(A/K, s)$ at $s = 1$ and the special L-value at this point. The aim of this article is to extend this setting from the classical situation of a curve C over a finite field to the case of a *higher dimensional basis* over finite fields.

In section 2, we first introduce a suitable L-function $L(\mathscr{A}/X, s)$ for Abelian schemes $\mathscr{A}$ over a smooth projective base scheme X over a finite field of characteristic p. We then prove that an analogue of the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer holds for the prime-to-p part, with two cohomological pairings $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\ell$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_\ell$ in place of the height pairing, provided that for one $\ell \neq p$ the ℓ-primary component of the Tate-Shafarevich group $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathscr{A})$ is finite or, equivalently, if the analytic rank equals the algebraic rank. (The Tate-Shafarevich group is studied in a previous article [Kel14$_1$].) More precisely, we get the following first main result:

Theorem 1. *Let X/k be a smooth projective geometrically connected variety over a finite field $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ and $\mathscr{A}/X$ an Abelian scheme. Set $\bar{X} = X \times_k \bar{k}$ and let $\ell \neq \operatorname{char} k$ be a prime. Let ρ be the vanishing order of $L(\mathscr{A}/X, s)$ at $s = 1$ and define the leading coefficient $c = L^*(\mathscr{A}/X, 1)$ of $L(\mathscr{A}/X, s)$ at $s = 1$ by*

$$L(\mathscr{A}/X, s) \sim c \cdot (\log q)^\rho (s-1)^\rho \quad \text{for } s \to 1.$$

Then one has $\rho \geq \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathscr{A}(X)$, and the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $\rho = \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathscr{A}(X)$
(b) $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty]$ is finite
If these hold, one has for all $\ell \neq \operatorname{char} k$ the equality for the leading Taylor coefficient

$$|c|_\ell^{-1} = \frac{|Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty]| \cdot R_\ell(\mathscr{A}/X)}{|\operatorname{Tor} \mathscr{A}(X)[\ell^\infty]| \cdot \left|\mathrm{H}^2(\bar{X}, T_\ell \mathscr{A})^\Gamma\right|}$$

and $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\text{non-}p]$ is finite. Here $\mathscr{A}(X) = A(K)$ with A the generic fibre of $\mathscr{A}/X$ and $K = k(X)$ the function field of X, and the regulator $R_\ell(\mathscr{A}/X)$ is the determinant of the cohomological pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\ell$ divided by the determinant of the cohomological pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_\ell$.

For example, (a) holds if $L(\mathscr{A}/X, 1) \neq 0$ (Remark 2.19 (a)), and (b) holds under mild conditions if $\mathscr{A}/X$ is isotrivial (Theorem 4.18, Remark 4.19 and Theorem 4.20).

In section 3, we construct a higher-dimensional analogue

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : A(K) \times A^\vee(K) \to \log q \cdot \mathbf{Z}$$

of the Néron-Tate canonical height pairing and show the second main result, which identifies the cohomological regulator $R_\ell(\mathscr{A}/X)$ in Theorem 1 with a geometric one:

Theorem 2. *Let ℓ be a prime different from* $\operatorname{char} k$.
(a) The Néron-Tate canonical height pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ gives the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\ell$ after tensoring with $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$.
(b) The cohomological pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_\ell$ has determinant 1.

In section 4, we specialise to constant Abelian schemes and prove the third main result, which also works for the p-part:

Theorem 3. *Assume*
(a) the Néron-Severi group of $\bar{X}$ is torsion-free and
(b) the dimension of $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Zar}}(\bar{X}, \mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}})$ as a vector space over $\bar{k}$ equals the dimension of the Albanese of $\bar{X}/\bar{k}$.
Then the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture as stated in Theorem 1 holds for constant Abelian schemes $B \times_k X/X$, with the additional property that the prime ℓ can be equal to $p = \operatorname{char} k$. Moreover, the determinant of the height pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ equals the determinant of the trace pairing $\operatorname{Hom}(A, B) \times \operatorname{Hom}(B, A) \to \operatorname{End}(A) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} \mathbf{Z}$, *and* $\mathrm{H}^2(\bar{X}, T_\ell \mathscr{A})^\Gamma = 0$.

Notation. For A an Abelian group let $\operatorname{Tor} A$ be the torsion subgroup of A, and $A_{\mathrm{Tors}} = A/\operatorname{Tor} A$. Let $\operatorname{Div} A$ be the maximal divisible subgroup of A and $A_{\mathrm{Div}} = A/\mathrm{Div}A$. Denote the cokernel of $A \xrightarrow{n} A$ by A/n and its kernel by $A[n]$, and the p-primary subgroup $\varinjlim_n A[p^n]$ by $A[p^\infty]$. Canonical isomorphisms are often denoted by "=". If not stated otherwise, all cohomology groups are taken with respect to the étale topology. We denote Pontryagin duality, duals of R-modules or ℓ-adic sheaves and Abelian schemes and Cartier duals by $(-)^\vee$. It should be clear from the context which one is meant. The ℓ-adic valuation $|\cdot|_\ell$ is taken to be normalised by $|\ell|_\ell = \ell^{-1}$.

2 The L-function

The results in this section are an easy generalisation of results of Schneider [Sch82a], p. 134–138 and [Sch82b], p. 496–498.

Let $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ be a finite field with $q = p^n$ elements and let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime. For a variety X/k denote by $\bar{X}$ its base change to a separable closure $\bar{k}$ of k.

Denote by Frob_q the arithmetic Frobenius, and by Γ the absolute Galois group of the finite base field k.

Let X/k be a smooth projective geometrically connected variety of dimension d, and let $\mathscr{A}/X$ be an Abelian scheme.

Definition 2.1. *For $\pi : \mathscr{A} \to X$ let*

$$P_i(\mathscr{A}/X, s) = \det\left(1 - q^{-s} \operatorname{Frob}_q^{-1} \mid \mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, \mathrm{R}^1\pi_* \mathbf{Q}_\ell)\right).$$

and define the **relative L-function** *of an Abelian scheme $\mathscr{A}/X$ by*

$$L(\mathscr{A}/X, s) = \frac{P_1(\mathscr{A}/X, q^{-s})}{P_0(\mathscr{A}/X, q^{-s})}.$$

For our purposes, it is better to consider the following L-function:

Definition 2.2. *Let*

$$L_i(\mathscr{A}/X, s) = \det(1 - q^{-s} \operatorname{Frob}_q^{-1} \mid \mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, V_\ell \mathscr{A})).$$

Remark 2.3. Note that $P_i(\mathscr{A}/X, s) = L_i(\mathscr{A}/X, s-1)$, so the vanishing order of $P_i(\mathscr{A}/X, s)$ at $s = 1$ is equal to the vanishing order of $L_i(\mathscr{A}/X, s)$ at $s = 0$, and the respective leading coefficients agree.

Lemma 2.4. *Isogenous Abelian varieties over a finite field k have the same number of points.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(\mathscr{F}_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$, $\mathscr{F} = \varprojlim_n \mathscr{F}_n$ be an ℓ-adic sheaf. For every i, there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^{i-1}(\bar{X}, \mathscr{F})_\Gamma \to \mathrm{H}^i(X, \mathscr{F}) \to \mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, \mathscr{F})^\Gamma \to 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. This is a generalisation of [Mil88], p. 78, Lemma 3.4. □

This implies

$$\mathrm{H}^{2d}(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{2d+1}(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) \tag{2.2}$$

since $\mathrm{H}^{2d+1}(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) = 0$ for dimension reasons. Because of $\mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) = 0$ for $i > 2d$, it follows that $\mathrm{H}^i(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) = 0$ for $i > 2d+1$. Furthermore, one has

$$\mathbf{Z}_\ell = (\mathbf{Z}_\ell)_\Gamma = \mathrm{H}^{2d}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d))_\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{2d+1}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)),$$

the second equality by Poincaré duality [Mil80], p. 276, Theorem VI.11.1 (a).

Definition 2.6. *Let $f : A \to B$ be a homomorphism of Abelian groups. If $\ker(f)$ and $\operatorname{coker}(f)$ are finite, f is called a* **quasi-isomorphism***, in which case we define*

$$q(f) = \frac{|\operatorname{coker}(f)|}{|\ker(f)|}.$$

Lemma 2.7. *Let* Frob *be a topological generator of Γ and M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$-module with continuous Γ-action. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. $\det(1 - \mathrm{Frob} \mid M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathbf{Q}_\ell) \neq 0$.
2. $\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, M) = M^\Gamma$ *is finite.*
3. $\mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma, M)$ *is finite.*

If one of these holds, we have $\mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma, M) = M_\Gamma$ and

$$|\det(1 - \mathrm{Frob} \mid M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathbf{Q}_\ell)|_\ell = \frac{\left|\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, M)\right|}{\left|\mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma, M)\right|} = \frac{\left|M^\Gamma\right|}{|M_\Gamma|} = \frac{|\ker(1 - \mathrm{Frob})|}{|\operatorname{coker}(1 - \mathrm{Frob})|} = q(1 - \mathrm{Frob})^{-1}.$$

Proof. See [BN78], p. 42, Lemma (3.2). If M is torsion, $\mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma, M) = M_\Gamma$ by [NSW00], p. 69, (1.6.13) Proposition (i). For the last equality, see [Tat66b], p. 306-19–306-20, Lemma z.1–z.4. □

Since $T_\ell\mathscr{A} = \mathrm{H}^1(\bar{A}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)^\vee$ (see [Sch82b], p. 497) has weight -1, $\mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})$ has weight $i-1$. So the conditions of Lemma 2.7 are fulfilled for the Γ-module $M = \mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})$ and $i \neq 1$. Therefore infinite groups in the short exact sequences in (2.1) can only occur in the following two sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^2(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \nwarrow & & \overset{f}{\swarrow} & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^0(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_\Gamma & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

Here, f is induced by the identity on $\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})$. Since $\mathrm{H}^2(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_\Gamma$ are finite (having weight $2 - 1 \neq 0$ and $0 - 1 \neq 0$), α and β are quasi-isomorphisms, i. e. they have finite kernel and cokernel.

Recall that

$$L_1(\mathscr{A}/X, t) = \det(1 - \mathrm{Frob}_q^{-1}\, t \mid \mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, V_\ell\mathscr{A})).$$

Define $\tilde{L}_1(\mathscr{A}/X, t)$ and ρ by

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(\mathscr{A}/X, t) &= (t-1)^\rho \cdot \tilde{L}_1(\mathscr{A}/X, t), \\ \rho &= \operatorname*{ord}_{t=1} L_1(\mathscr{A}/X, t) \in \mathbf{N}. \end{aligned}$$

By writing Frob_q^{-1} in Jordan normal form, one sees that ρ is equal to

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \bigcup_{n\geq 1} \ker(1-\mathrm{Frob}_q^{-1})^n \geq \dim_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \ker(1-\mathrm{Frob}_q^{-1}) = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, V_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma,$$

i. e.

$$\rho \geq \dim_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, V_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma,$$

and that equality holds iff the operation of the Frobenius on $\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, V_\ell\mathscr{A})$ is semi-simple at 1, i. e.

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \bigcup_{n\geq 1} \ker(1-\mathrm{Frob}_q^{-1})^n = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \ker(1-\mathrm{Frob}_q^{-1}),$$

i. e. the generalised eigenspace at 1 equals the eigenspace, which is equivalent to $f_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}$ in (2.3) being an isomorphism, i. e. f being a quasi-isomorphism.

From (2.3), since $\mathrm{H}^0(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_\Gamma$ is finite, one sees that

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, V_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}).$$

Corollary 2.8. *If $i \neq 1$, one has*

$$|L_i(\mathscr{A}/X, q^{-1})|_\ell = \frac{\left|\mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma\right|}{\left|\mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_\Gamma\right|}.$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.7 1 if $i \neq 1$ since $\mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, V_\ell\mathscr{A})$ has weight $i-1$. □

The idea is that for infinite cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})$, one should insert a regulator term $q(f)$ or $q((\beta f\alpha)_{\mathrm{Tors}})$.

Lemma 2.9. *$\rho = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})$ iff f is a quasi-isomorphism. In this case,*

$$|\tilde{L}_1(\mathscr{A}/X, q^{-1})|_\ell^{-1} = q(f) = \frac{|\operatorname{coker} f|}{|\ker f|} \quad \textit{and}$$
$$|\tilde{L}_1(\mathscr{A}/X, q^{-1})|_\ell^{-1} = q((\beta f\alpha)_{\mathrm{Tors}}) \cdot \frac{\left|\mathrm{H}^0(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_\Gamma\right|}{\left|\mathrm{H}^2(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma\right|} \cdot \frac{\left|\operatorname{Tor}\mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})\right|}{|\operatorname{Tor}\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})|}.$$

Proof. From the discussion above, the first statement follows. Assuming this, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{L}_1(\mathscr{A}/X, q^{-1})| &= \frac{|(\mathrm{Frob}_q - 1)\mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma|}{|(\mathrm{Frob}_q - 1)\mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_\Gamma|} \\
&= \frac{|(\mathrm{Frob}_q - 1)\mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma|}{|(\mathrm{Frob}_q - 1)\mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) : (\mathrm{Frob}_q - 1)^2\mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})|} \\
&= \frac{|\ker f|}{|\operatorname{coker} f|} = q(f)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
q(f) &= \frac{q(\operatorname{Tor}(\beta f))}{q(\beta)} \cdot q((\beta f)_{\mathrm{Tors}}) \quad \text{by [Tat66b], p. 306-19–306-20, Lemma z.1–z.4} \\
&= \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{H}^2(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma\right|} \cdot \frac{\left|\operatorname{Tor}\mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_\Gamma\right|}{\left|\operatorname{Tor}\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma\right|} \cdot q((\beta f)_{\mathrm{Tors}}) \\
&= q((\beta f\alpha)_{\mathrm{Tors}}) \cdot \frac{\left|\mathrm{H}^0(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_\Gamma\right|}{\left|\mathrm{H}^2(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma\right|} \cdot \frac{\left|\operatorname{Tor}\mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})\right|}{|\operatorname{Tor}\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})|} \quad \text{since } \operatorname{coker}(\alpha) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\ell \neq p$ be invertible on X. Then the sequence*

$$0 \to \mathscr{A}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell \to \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^\infty]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{A})[\ell^\infty] \to 0$$

is exact.

Lemma 2.11. *Let ℓ be invertible on X. Then the $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$-corank of $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty]$ is finite.*

Lemma 2.12. *Let X/k be proper, ℓ be invertible on X. There is a long exact sequence*

$$\ldots \to \mathrm{H}^i(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}(n)) \to \mathrm{H}^i(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \to \mathrm{H}^i(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^\infty](n)) \to \ldots$$

which induces isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{H}^{i-1}(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^\infty](n))_{\mathrm{Div}} \to \mathrm{Tor}\, \mathrm{H}^i(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}(n))$$

and short exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^i(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}(n)) \to \mathrm{H}^i(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \to \mathrm{Div}\, \mathrm{H}^i(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^\infty](n)) \to 0.$$

Proof. Consider for $m, m' \in \mathbf{N}$ the short exact sequence of étale sheaves

$$0 \to \mathscr{A}[m](n) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{A}[mm'](n) \xrightarrow{\cdot m} \mathscr{A}[m'](n) \to 0.$$

Setting $m = \ell^\mu, m' = \ell^\nu$, the associated long exact sequence is

$$\ldots \to \mathrm{H}^i(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^\mu](n)) \to \mathrm{H}^i(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^{\mu+\nu}](n)) \to \mathrm{H}^i(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^\nu](n)) \to \ldots.$$

Passing to the projective limit $\varprojlim_\mu$ and then to the inductive limit $\varinjlim_\nu$ yields the desired long exact sequence since all involved cohomology groups are finite by [Mil80], p. 224, Corollary VI.2.8 since X/k is proper and our sheaves are constructible.

The other statements follow easily. □

Lemma 2.13. *Assume ℓ is invertible on X. Then one has the following identities for the étale cohomology groups of X:*

$$\mathrm{H}^i(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) = 0 \quad \textit{for } i \neq 1, 2, \ldots, 2d+1 \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathrm{Tor}\, \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) = \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^\infty])_{\mathrm{Div}} = \mathrm{Tor}\, \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{A})[\ell^\infty] \tag{2.5}$$

$$\mathrm{Tor}\, \mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) = \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^\infty])_{\mathrm{Div}} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^\infty])_{\mathrm{Div}} = Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty] \quad \textit{if } Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty] \textit{ is finite} \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. (2.4): For $i > 2d+1$ this follows from (2.1), and it holds for $i = 0$ since $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^n]) \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}\, \mathscr{A}(X)$ is finite (since $\mathscr{A}(X)$ is a finitely generated Abelian group by the Mordell-Weil theorem) hence its Tate-module is trivial.

(2.5) and (2.6): From Lemma 2.12, we get

$$\left|\mathrm{Tor}\, \mathrm{H}^i(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})\right| = \left|\mathrm{H}^{i-1}(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^\infty])_{\mathrm{Div}}\right|$$

The desired equalities follow by plugging in $i = 1, 2$.

Further, one has $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^\infty])_{\mathrm{Div}} = \mathrm{Tor}\, \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{A})[\ell^\infty]$ in (2.5) because $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^\infty])$ is cofinitely generated by the Mordell-Weil theorem.

Finally, (2.7) holds since by Lemma 2.10, $\mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^\infty])_{\mathrm{Div}} = \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{A})[\ell^\infty]$ if the latter is finite, and this equals $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty]$. □

Now we have two pairings

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\ell : \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_{\mathrm{Tors}} \times \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_{\mathrm{Tors}} \to \mathrm{H}^{2d}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1^*} \mathrm{H}^{2d}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) = \mathbf{Z}_\ell, \tag{2.8}$$

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_\ell : \mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_{\mathrm{Tors}} \times \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_{\mathrm{Tors}} \to \mathrm{H}^{2d+1}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) = \mathbf{Z}_\ell. \tag{2.9}$$

Lemma 2.14. *The regulator term $q((\beta f \alpha)_{\mathrm{Tors}})$ is defined iff f is a quasi-isomorphism and then equals*

$$\left| \frac{\det \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\ell}{\det (\cdot, \cdot)_\ell} \right|_\ell^{-1}$$

where both pairings are non-degenerate.

Proof. Using $\mathrm{H}^{2d+1}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) = \mathbf{Z}_\ell$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2d}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) = \mathbf{Z}_\ell$, there is a commutative diagram of pairings

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_{\mathrm{Tors}} & \times & \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_{\mathrm{Tors}} & \xrightarrow{\cup} & \mathbf{Z}_\ell \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \| \\
(\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_\Gamma)_{\mathrm{Tors}} & \times & (\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\bar{X}, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))^\Gamma)_{\mathrm{Tors}} & \xrightarrow{\cup} & \mathbf{Z}_\ell \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \| & & \| \\
(\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma)_{\mathrm{Tors}} & \times & (\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\bar{X}, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))^\Gamma)_{\mathrm{Tors}} & \xrightarrow{\cup} & \mathbf{Z}_\ell \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \alpha}{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \| \\
\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_{\mathrm{Tors}} & \times & \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_{\mathrm{Tors}} & \xrightarrow{\cup} & \mathbf{Z}_\ell
\end{array}$$

By Poincaré duality [Mil80], p. 276, Theorem VI.11.1 (using that $T_\ell\mathscr{A}$ is a smooth sheaf since the $\mathscr{A}[\ell^n]$ are étale), the pairing in the second line is non-degenerate, hence the pairing in the first line is too (β is a quasi-isomorphism). (The upper right and the lower right arrows are isomorphisms since their kernel is $(\mathrm{H}^{2d-2}(\bar{X}, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_{\mathrm{Tors}} = 0$ for weight reasons: $(2d-2)+(-1)-2(d-1) = -1 \neq 0$; the lower left arrow is an isomorphism since α is a quasi-isomorphism.) Hence if f is a quasi-isomorphism, all pairings in the diagram are non-degenerate, and then the claimed equality for the regulator $q((\beta f \alpha)_{\mathrm{Tors}}) = |\mathrm{coker}(\beta f \alpha)_{\mathrm{Tors}}|$ follows. □

Lemma 2.15. *Let ℓ be invertible on X. Then one has a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathscr{A}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) \to \varprojlim_n (\mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{A})[\ell^n]) \to 0.$$

If $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty] = \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{A})[\ell^\infty]$ is finite, δ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathscr{A}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}).$$

Proof. Since ℓ is invertible on X, the short exact sequence of étale sheaves

$$0 \to \mathscr{A}[\ell^n] \to \mathscr{A} \xrightarrow{\ell^n} \mathscr{A} \to 0$$

induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathscr{A}(X)/\ell^n \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^n]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{A})[\ell^n] \to 0$$

in cohomology, and passing to the limit $\varprojlim_n$ gives us the desired short exact sequence since the $\mathscr{A}(X)/\ell^n$ are finite by the Mordell-Weil theorem, so they satisfy the Mittag-Leffler condition.

The second claim follows from the short exact sequence and since the Tate module of a finite group is trivial. □

Lemma 2.16. *One has $\rho \geq \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})$. Then $1 \iff 2 \iff 3$ and $4 \iff 5$ in the following; further $3 \iff 4$ assuming $\rho = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathscr{A}(X)$.*

1. *$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\ell$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_\ell$ are non-degenerate.*
2. *f is a quasi-isomorphism.*
3. *Equality holds $\rho = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})$.*
4. *The canonical injection $\mathscr{A}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})$ is surjective.*
5. *The ℓ-primary part of the Tate-Shafarevich group $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty]$ is finite.*

Furthermore, the following are equivalent:

(a) $\rho = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathscr{A}(X)$

(b) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\ell$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_\ell$ are non-degenerate and the ℓ-primary part of the Tate-Shafarevich group $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty]$ is finite.

Proof. 1 $\iff$ 2: See Lemma 2.14. 2 $\iff$ 3: This is Lemma 2.9. 3 $\iff$ 4: One has $\rho = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}\,\mathscr{A}(X) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}(\mathscr{A}(X)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ and by Lemma 2.15 $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}(\mathscr{A}(X)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{Z}_\ell) \le \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})$, so this is an equality iff δ in 3. is onto. 4 $\iff$ 5: One has that $\varprojlim_n(\mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathscr{A})[\ell^n]) = T_\ell(\mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathscr{A}))$ is trivial iff $\mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathscr{A})[\ell^\infty] = Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty]$ is finite since $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty]$ is a cofinitely generated $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$-module by Lemma 2.11.

(a) $\Longrightarrow$ (b): Since δ in 4 is injective, one has $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}\,\mathscr{A}(X) \le \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) \le \rho$. Therefore, $\rho = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}\,\mathscr{A}(X)$ implies equality, and 3 and 4 follow, so 1–5 hold. (b) $\Longrightarrow$ (a): (b) is equivalent to 1–5, so from 4 one gets $\mathscr{A}(X)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})$, but by 3, $\rho = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}\,\mathscr{A}(X)$. □

Define c by

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mathscr{A}/X, s) &\sim c\cdot(1-q^{1-s})^\rho \\ &\sim c\cdot(\log q)^\rho (s-1)^\rho \quad \text{for } s\to 1, \end{aligned}$$

see Remark 4.31. Note that $c\in\mathbf{Q}$ since $L(\mathscr{A}/X,s)$ is a rational function with $\mathbf{Q}$-coefficients in q^{-s}, and $c\neq 0$ since ρ is the vanishing order of the L-function at $s=1$ by definition of ρ and the Riemann hypothesis.

Corollary 2.17. *If $\rho = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})$, then*

$$|c|_\ell^{-1} = q((\beta f\alpha)_{\mathrm{Tors}})\cdot \frac{\left|\mathrm{Tor}\,\mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})\right|}{|\mathrm{Tor}\,\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})|\cdot\left|\mathrm{H}^2(\bar X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma\right|}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.9 for $\tilde L_1(\mathscr{A}/X,t)$ and Corollary 2.8 for $L_0(\mathscr{A}/X,t)$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} |c|_\ell^{-1} &= q((\beta f\alpha)_{\mathrm{Tors}})\cdot \frac{\left|\mathrm{H}^0(\bar X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_\Gamma\right|}{\left|\mathrm{H}^2(\bar X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma\right|}\cdot\frac{\left|\mathrm{Tor}\,\mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})\right|}{|\mathrm{Tor}\,\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})|}\cdot\frac{\left|\mathrm{H}^0(\bar X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma\right|}{\left|\mathrm{H}^0(\bar X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_\Gamma\right|} \\ &= q((\beta f\alpha)_{\mathrm{Tors}})\cdot \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{H}^2(\bar X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma\right|}\cdot\frac{\left|\mathrm{Tor}\,\mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})\right|}{|\mathrm{Tor}\,\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})|}\cdot\frac{\left|\mathrm{H}^0(\bar X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma\right|}{1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 = \mathrm{H}^0(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^0(\bar X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma$ use (2.1) with $i=0$ and (2.4). □

Theorem 2.18 (The conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer for Abelian schemes over higher-dimensional bases, cohomological version)**.** *One has $\rho \ge \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})$ and the following are equivalent:*

(a) $\rho = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}\,\mathscr{A}(X)$

(b) For some $\ell \neq p = \mathrm{char}\,k$, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\ell$ and $(\cdot,\cdot)_\ell$ are non-degenerate and $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty]$ is finite.

If these hold, we have for all $\ell\neq p$

$$|c|_\ell^{-1} = \left|\frac{\det\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\ell}{\det(\cdot,\cdot)_\ell}\right|_\ell^{-1}\cdot\frac{|Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty]|}{|\mathrm{Tor}\,\mathscr{A}(X)[\ell^\infty]|\cdot\left|\mathrm{H}^2(\bar X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma\right|},$$

and the prime-to-p torsion $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\text{non-}p]$ is finite as well.

Proof. The first statement is Lemma 2.16. Now identify the terms in Corollary 2.17 using Lemma 2.13 (cohomology groups) and Lemma 2.14 (regulator).

By Theorem 2.18 (b) for $\ell \Longrightarrow$ (a) independent of $\ell \Longrightarrow$ (b) for ℓ', $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell'^\infty]$ is finite for every $\ell'\neq p$. But since $c\neq 0$, and by the relation of $|c|_{\ell'}^{-1}$ and $|Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell'^\infty]|$, the prime-to-$p$ torsion is finite. □

Remark 2.19. (a) For example, the conjecture is true if $L(\mathscr{A}/X,1)\neq 0$ since one then has $0 = \rho \ge \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}\,\mathscr{A}(X) \ge 0$.

(b) The (determinants of the) pairings $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\ell$ and $(\cdot,\cdot)_\ell$ are identified below: One has $\det(\cdot,\cdot)_\ell = 1$ and $\det\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\ell$ is the regulator, see especially Remark 3.20.

(c) For the vanishing of $\mathrm{H}^2(\bar X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma$ see Remark 4.34 below.

(d) Assume $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty]$ finite. The pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)_\ell$ has determinant 1, see the discussion below, and is thus non-degenerate. The pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\ell$ equals the height pairing, see below, and is therefore by [Cona], p. 35, Theorem 9.15 non-degenerate.

3 Cohomological and height pairings

Theorem 3.1 (Hard Lefschetz over algebraically closed ground fields)**.** *Let k be an algebraically closed field, $\iota : X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^N_k$ a smooth projective scheme of dimension n, $\eta \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1))$ the first Chern class of $\mathscr{O}_X(1) = \iota^*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N_k}(1) \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and V a potential pure $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf (see [Del80], p. 248, (6.2.8) for the definition). Then, for every $i \in \mathbf{N}$, the iterated cup product*

$$(\cup\eta)^i : \mathrm{H}^{n-i}(X, V) \to \mathrm{H}^{n+i}(X, V(i))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. This follows from [Del80], p. 250, Théorème (6.2.13). □

Theorem 3.2 (Hard Lefschetz for finite ground fields)**.** *Let k be a finite field, $\iota : X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^N_k$ a smooth projective scheme of dimension d, $\eta \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1))$ the first Chern class of $\mathscr{O}_X(1) = \iota^*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N_k}(1) \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and V a potential pure $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf of weight $w \neq 0$. Then the iterated cup product*

$$(\cup\eta)^{d-1} : \mathrm{H}^1(X, V) \to \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, V(d-1))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{H}^0(\bar{X}, V)_\Gamma & \xrightarrow{(\cup\eta)^{d-1}} & \mathrm{H}^{2d-2}(\bar{X}, V(d-1))_\Gamma \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{H}^1(X, V) & \xrightarrow{(\cup\eta)^{d-1}} & \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, V(d-1)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, V)^\Gamma & \xrightarrow[\cong]{(\cup\eta)^{d-1}} & \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\bar{X}, V(d-1))^\Gamma \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

with exact columns coming from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence [Mil80], p. 105 f., Remark III.2.21 (b) for $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$, which has cohomological dimension 1. The two groups in the upper row are of weight $0 + w \neq 0$ and $(2d-2) - 2(d-1) + w = w \neq 0$, respectively, hence equal to 0. As the arrow in the lower row is an isomorphism by the hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1 for algebraically closed ground fields, the arrow in the middle row is an isomorphism. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let A/k be an Abelian variety with principal polarisation $\vartheta \in \mathrm{Pic}(A) \to \mathrm{H}^2(A, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1))$. Then the integral hard Lefschetz morphism $(\cup\vartheta)^{d-1} : \mathrm{H}^{d-i}(A, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \to \mathrm{H}^{d+i}(A, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(i))$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Using that ϑ is a principal polarisation, write $\vartheta = \sum_{i=1}^d e_i \wedge e_i'$ in a symplectic basis (with respect to the Weil pairing $\wedge : T_\ell A \times T_\ell(A^\vee) \to \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1)$; using the principal polarisation, the Weil pairing becomes a symplectic pairing $T_\ell A \times T_\ell A \to \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1)$) and use that the cohomology ring $\mathrm{H}^*(A, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) = \bigwedge^* \mathrm{H}^1(A, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ is an exterior algebra.

By [Mil86], p. 130, one has $\mathrm{H}^*(A, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) = (\bigwedge^* T_\ell A)^\vee$.

A basis of $\bigwedge^1 T_\ell A$ is $e_1, e_1', \ldots, e_d, e_d'$, and a basis of $\bigwedge^{2d-1} T_\ell A$ is (a hat denotes the omission of a term)

$$e_1 \wedge e_1' \wedge \ldots \hat{e}_i \wedge e_i' \wedge \ldots \wedge e_d \wedge e_d'$$

and the same for e_i' instead of e_i. Now,

$$\vartheta^{d-1} = \sum_{i=1}^d (e_1 \wedge e_1' \wedge \ldots \widehat{e_i \wedge e_i'} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_d \wedge e_d').$$

Thus,

$$e_i' \wedge \vartheta^{d-1} = e_1 \wedge e_1' \wedge \ldots \hat{e_i} \wedge e_i' \wedge \ldots \wedge e_d \wedge e_d'$$

and the same for e_i, gives the basis of $\bigwedge^{2d-1} T_\ell A$. □

Corollary 3.4. *Applying this to a constant Abelian scheme $\mathscr{A} = B \times_k X$ and $X = A$ a principally polarised Abelian variety, gives that the hard Lefschetz morphism of a constant Abelian scheme $\mathrm{H}^1(A, T_\ell \mathscr{A}) = \mathrm{H}^1(A, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \times T_\ell B$ (see Lemma 4.1 2) is an integral isomorphism.*

3.1 The pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\ell$

Let us recall **Conrad's height pairing for generalised global fields** from [Cona], p. 27 ff. Let X/k be a smooth projective geometrically connected variety. Let $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ be the finite ground field and $K = k(X)$ the function field of X. Choose a closed immersion $\iota : X \to \mathbf{P}_k^N$. For $x \in X^{(1)}$ let

$$c_{x,\iota} = q^{-\deg_{k,\iota}(\overline{\{x\}})}.$$

For the definition of the degree of a closed subscheme of projective space see [Har83], p. 52. Then the discrete valuations

$$\| \cdot \|_{x,\iota} = c_{x,\iota}^{\operatorname{ord}_x(\cdot)} : K \to \mathbf{Z},$$

where $x \in X^{(1)}$, satisfy the product formula by [Har83], p. 146, Exercise II.6.2 (d). Conrad calls the system of these valuations the structure of a **generalised global field** on K. This induces a height function

$$h_{K,n,\iota} : \mathbf{P}_K^n(\bar{K}) \to \mathbf{R}, h_{K,n,\iota}([t_0 : \ldots : t_n]) = \frac{1}{[K' : K]} \sum_{v'} \max_i (\log \| t_i \|_{v',\iota}) \geq 0$$

on projective spaces over K, see [Cona], p. 29 ff, with the finitely many lifts v' of $v = x \in X^{(1)}$ to K'/K finite.

Now, we construct a canonical height pairing

$$\hat{h}_\iota : \mathscr{A}(X) \times \mathscr{A}^\vee(X) \to \mathbf{R}$$

as follows. If $\mathscr{L}$ is a very ample line bundle on a projective K-variety X, the induced closed K-immersion

$$\iota_{\mathscr{L}} : X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{L}))$$

defines a height function

$$h_{K,\mathscr{L},\iota} := h_{K,\mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathscr{L}),\iota} \circ \iota_{\mathscr{L}} : X(\bar{K}) \to \mathbf{R}.$$

By linearity, since one can write any line bundle on X as a difference of two very ample line bundles, this extends to a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathbf{R}^{X(\bar{K})}/O(1).$$

Now let $\mathscr{A}/X$ be an Abelian scheme. In this case, one can define a *canonical* height pairing, taking values in $\mathbf{R}^{X(\bar{K})}$, not modulo bounded functions,

$$\hat{h}_{K,\mathscr{L},\iota} : \mathscr{A}(X) = A(K) \to \mathbf{R}$$

or

$$\hat{h}_{K,\iota} : \mathscr{A}(X) \times \mathscr{A}^\vee(X) = A(K) \times A^\vee(K) \to \mathbf{R},$$

respectively as in [BG06], p. 284 ff. Note that $\mathscr{A}(X) = A(K)$ by the Néron mapping property:

Theorem 3.5 (The Néron model)**.** *Let S be a regular, Noetherian, integral, separated scheme with $g : \{\eta\} \hookrightarrow S$ the inclusion of the generic point. Let $\mathscr{A}/S$ be an Abelian scheme. Then*

$$\mathscr{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} g_* g^* \mathscr{A}$$

as sheaves on S_{sm}.

Proof. See [Kel14$_1$]. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let K be a generalised global field, A/K an Abelian variety and $\mathscr{P} \in \mathrm{Pic}(A \times A^\vee)$ the Poincaré bundle. Then*

$$\hat{h}_{\mathscr{L}}(x) = \hat{h}_{\mathscr{P}}(x, \mathscr{L})$$

for $x \in A(K)$ and $\mathscr{L} \in A^\vee(K)$.

Proof. See [BG06], p. 292, Corollary 9.3.7. □

Let $\ell \neq \mathrm{char}\, k$ be prime. Now note that from Lemma 2.15 under the assumtion $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty]$ finite, one has an isomorphism

$$\delta : \mathscr{A}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell \mathscr{A}).$$

induced by the boundary map of the long exact sequence induced by the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathscr{A}[\ell^n] \to \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{A} \to 0.$$

Let $d = \dim X$. Using Theorem 3.2 for $i = d-1$, one gets isomorphisms

$$\mathscr{A}^\vee(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^1(X, V_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, V_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1)).$$

Now we have to show that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_{\mathrm{Tors}} \times \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_{\mathrm{Tors}} & \xrightarrow{\cup} \mathrm{H}^{2d}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d))_{\mathrm{Tors}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1^*} \mathrm{H}^{2d}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) \xrightarrow{=} & \mathbf{Z}_\ell \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle (\mathrm{id}, \cup\eta^{d-1})} & (1) & \| \\
\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_{\mathrm{Tors}} \times \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee))_{\mathrm{Tors}} & \xrightarrow{\cup} \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1))_{\mathrm{Tors}} \xrightarrow{\cup\eta^{d-1}} \mathrm{H}^{2d}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) \xrightarrow{=} & \mathbf{Z}_\ell \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle (\delta, \delta)} \cong & (2) & \| \\
\mathscr{A}(X)_{\mathrm{Tors}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \times \mathscr{A}^\vee(X)_{\mathrm{Tors}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\Delta_X^*(\cdot,\cdot)^*\mathscr{P}} \mathrm{Pic}(X)_{\mathrm{Tors}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\mathscr{O}_X(1)^{d-1}} \mathrm{CH}^d(X)_{\mathrm{Tors}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\deg} & \mathbf{Z}_\ell \\
\| & (3) & \| \\
\mathscr{A}(X)_{\mathrm{Tors}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \times \mathscr{A}^\vee(X)_{\mathrm{Tors}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\hat{h}_{\mathscr{P}}(\cdot,\cdot)} \mathbf{Z} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{=} & \mathbf{Z}_\ell
\end{array}$$

Commutativity of (1). Here, $\eta \in \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(1))$ is the cycle class associated to $\mathscr{O}_X(1) \in \mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathrm{CH}^1(X)$ (X is regular), where $\mathscr{O}_X(1) = \iota^* \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N_K}(1)$ for the closed immersion $\iota : X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^N_K$ which defines the structure of a generalised global field on the function field $K = k(X)$ of X.

The *integral* hard Lefschetz morphism $(\cup\eta)^{d-1} : \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))$ is injective with finite cokernel by the hard Lefschetz theorem Theorem 3.2 since it follows that the kernel and the cokernel tensored with $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$ are trivial, hence torsion, hence finite, and recall that all groups are taken modulo their torsion subgroup, so the kernel is trivial. We call the order of the cokernel of the integral hard Lefschetz morphism **integral hard Lefschetz defect.**

Commutativity of (2). Here, $\delta : \mathscr{A}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})$ is the boundary map of the long exact sequence in Lemma 2.10 (this is an isomorphism since we assume $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty]$ to be finite).

The morphism $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1))$ equals $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1))$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{A}) & \times & \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{A}^\vee) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} \\
\mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^n]) & \times & \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{A}^\vee[\ell^n]) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mu_{\ell^n})
\end{array}$$

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathscr{A}/X$ be a projective Abelian scheme over a locally Noetherian scheme X. Let $x \in \mathscr{A}(X)$ and $\mathscr{L} \in \mathbf{Pic}^0(\mathscr{A}/X)$. By the universal property of the Poincaré bundle [FGI+05], p. 262f., Exercise 9.4.3, there is a unique X-morphism $h : X \to \mathscr{A}^\vee$ such that $\mathscr{L} \cong (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{A}} \times h)^* \mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{A}}$. Then $x^*\mathscr{L} = (x, h)^* \mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{A}}$.*

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\mathscr{A}/X$ be a projective Abelian scheme over a locally Noetherian scheme X. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathscr{A}(X) & \times & \mathscr{A}^\vee(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}(X) \\ \| & & \cong\uparrow & & \| \\ \mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathscr{A}) & \times & \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathbf{G}_m) \end{array}$$

Here, the upper pairing is given by $(x,\mathscr{L}) \mapsto x^*\mathscr{L} = \Delta^*(x,\mathscr{L})^*\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{A}}$ (the equality by Lemma 3.7) and the lower pairing is the Yoneda pairing.

Lemma 3.9.

$$\mathscr{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m) = 0 \text{ and } \mathscr{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\mathscr{A},\mu_n) = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

So by the Ext spectral sequence $\mathrm{H}^p(X,\mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^q_X(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m)) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{p+q}_X(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m)$ (see [Mil80], p. 91, Theorem III.1.22) one gets

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1_X(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m)) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m). \tag{3.2}$$

By Proposition 3.8, the pairing $\mathscr{A}(X)\times\mathscr{A}^\vee(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ identifies with

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathscr{A}) \times \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m) \to \mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathbf{G}_m).$$

Let ℓ be invertible on X. Then one has a short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \to \mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m)[\ell^n] \to \mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\ell^n} \mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m) \to 0 \tag{3.3}$$

since one can check $\mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m)/\ell^n = \mathscr{A}^\vee/\ell^n = 0$ on stalks.

The short exact Kummer sequence yields by (3.1) a short exact sequence

$$\mathscr{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m) = 0 \to \mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1(\mathscr{A},\mu_{\ell^n}) \to \mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\ell^n} \mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m) \to 0 \tag{3.4}$$

(the 0 at the right hand side by (3.3)).

Combining (3.3) and (3.4), one gets

$$\mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m)[\ell^n] = \mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1(\mathscr{A},\mu_{\ell^n}) \tag{3.5}$$

Again, the Ext spectral sequence $\mathrm{H}^p(X,\mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^q_X(\mathscr{A},\mu_{\ell^n})) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{p+q}_X(\mathscr{A},\mu_{\ell^n})$ (see [Mil80], p. 91, Theorem III.1.22) gives us an injection

$$\mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1_X(\mathscr{A},\mu_{\ell^n})) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2_X(\mathscr{A},\mu_{\ell^n}) \tag{3.6}$$

since $\mathscr{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\mathscr{A},\mu_{\ell^n}) = 0$ by (3.1).

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathscr{A}) & \times & \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathscr{A},\mathbf{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathbf{G}_m) \\ \| & & \downarrow\delta & & \downarrow\delta \\ \mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathscr{A}) & \times & \mathrm{Ext}^2_X(\mathscr{A},\mu_{\ell^n}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^2(X,\mu_{\ell^n}) \end{array}$$

commutes, so we are left with proving that the lower pairing and the lower pairing of (2) are equal. In order to show this, we prove the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathscr{A}) & \times & \mathrm{Ext}^2_X(\mathscr{A},\mu_{\ell^n}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^2(X,\mu_{\ell^n}) \\ \downarrow\delta & & (3.6)\uparrow & & \| \\ \mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathscr{A}[\ell^n]) & \times & \mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1_X(\mathscr{A},\mu_{\ell^n})) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^2(X,\mu_{\ell^n}). \end{array}$$

Here, the lower row is induced by the Weil pairing using $\mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1(\mathscr{A}, \mu_{\ell^n}) = \mathscr{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathscr{A}[\ell^n], \mu_{\ell^n}) = \mathscr{A}^\vee[\ell^n]$ by the long exact Ext sequence for the Kummer sequence $0 \to \mathscr{A}[\ell^n] \to \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{A} \to 0$ (see [Mil80], p. 91, Theorem III.1.22):

$$0 = \mathscr{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\mathscr{A}, \mu_{\ell^n}) \to \mathscr{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\mathscr{A}[\ell^n], \mu_{\ell^n}) \to \mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1_X(\mathscr{A}, \mu_{\ell^n}) \xrightarrow{0} \mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1_X(\mathscr{A}, \mu_{\ell^n}),$$

the first equality by (3.1) and the latter transition map being 0 since ℓ^n kills μ_{ℓ^n}.

Rewrite this diagram as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}^2_X(\mathscr{A}, \mu_{\ell^n}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{A}), \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mu_{\ell^n})) \\ {\scriptstyle (3.6)}\uparrow\wr & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta^*} \\ \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{E}\mathrm{xt}^1_X(\mathscr{A}, \mu_{\ell^n})) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^n]), \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mu_{\ell^n})). \end{array}$$

Now, the local-to-global Ext spectral sequence gives an embedding $\mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\mathscr{A}[\ell^n], \mu_{\ell^n})) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathscr{A}[\ell^n], \mu_{\ell^n})$, through which the left vertical and the lower horizontal arrows factor. The two paths map

$$e = \big(1 \to \mu_{\ell^n} \to \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{A}[\ell^n] \to 0\big) \in \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathscr{A}[\ell^n], \mu_{\ell^n})$$

to

$$\big(\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{A}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^n]) \xrightarrow{\delta_\varsigma} \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mu_{\ell^n})\big) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{A}), \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mu_{\ell^n})),$$

the first δ being the connecting homomorphism of the Kummer sequence.

Commutativity of (3). This is a generalisation of [Sch82b] and [Blo80].

Let $d = \dim X$. We want to show that the pairing

$$\mathscr{A}(X) \times \mathrm{Ext}^1_{X_{fppf}}(\mathscr{A}, \mathbf{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\vee} \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathbf{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\cap \mathscr{O}_X(1)^{d-1}} \mathrm{CH}^d(X) \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathbf{Z}$$

coincides up to a factor $\log q$ with the **generalised Bloch pairing**

$$h : A(K) \times A^\vee(K) \to \mathbf{R},$$

which is defined as follows: Let $K = k(X)$ be the function field of X. Define the **adele ring** of X as

$$\mathbf{A}_K = \prod\nolimits'_{x \in X^{(1)}} K_x,$$

where K_x is the quotient field of the discrete valuation ring $\mathscr{O}_{X,x}$ with discrete valuation $v_x : K_x^\times \to \mathbf{Z}$ and absolute value $|\cdot|_{\iota,x} = q^{-\deg_\iota x \cdot v_x(\cdot)}$. There is the natural homomorphism (the "logarithmic modulus map"), fixing a closed immersion $\iota : X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^N_k$ with the very ample sheaf $\mathscr{O}_X(1) := \iota^* \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(1)$

$$l : \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_K) \to \log q \cdot \mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{R}, (a_x) \mapsto \sum_{x \in X^{(1)}} \log |a_x|_{\iota,x} = -\log q \cdot \sum_{x \in X^{(1)}} \deg_\iota x \cdot v_x(a_x).$$

By the product formula (see [Har83], p. 146, Exercise II.6.2 (d)), $l(\mathbf{G}_m(K)) = l(K^\times) = 0$. Scale the image $\log q \cdot \mathbf{Z}$ such that l is surjective.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ be a familiy of rings with $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Spec}\, R_i) = 0$ for every $i \in I$. Then $\mathrm{Pic}(\prod_{i \in I} \mathrm{Spec}\, R_i) = 0$.*

Proof. Line bundles correspond to $\mathbf{G}_m$-torseurs, and a torseur is trivial iff it has a section. So let a line bundle on $R := \prod_{i \in I} \mathrm{Spec}\, R_i$ be represented by an affine scheme X. One has $X(R) = \prod_{i \in I} X(R_i)$, and each of the factors has a non-trivial element by $\mathrm{Pic}(R_i) = 0$. Hence, the product is non-empty. □

Corollary 3.11. *The Picard group of the adele ring is trivial.*

Proof. By the previous Lemma 3.10, $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{A}_{K,S}) = 0$, and $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{A}_K) = \varinjlim_S \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{A}_{K,S})$ by the compatiblity of étale cohomology with limits, see [Mil80], p. 88 f., Lemma III.1.16. □

Let $a \in A(K)$ and $a^\vee = (1 \to \mathbf{G}_m \to \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{A} \to 0) \in A^\vee(K)$. By descent theory, $\mathscr{X}$ is a smoooth commutative X-group scheme, and by Hilbert's theorem 90, the sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{G}_m(K) \to \mathscr{X}(K) \to \mathscr{A}(K) \to \mathrm{H}^1(K, \mathbf{G}_m) = 0$$

and, by Corollary 3.11,

$$0 \to \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_K) \to \mathscr{X}(\mathbf{A}_K) \to \mathscr{A}(\mathbf{A}_K) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbf{A}_K, \mathbf{G}_m) = 0$$

are still exact.

Proposition 3.12 (Adele valued points)**.** *Let X be a K-scheme of finite type and $S \subseteq X^{(1)}$ be a finite set of places. Then*

$$\varinjlim X_{S'}(\mathbf{A}_{K,S'}) = X_S(\mathbf{A}_K) = X(\mathbf{A}_K)$$

and

$$X_S(\mathbf{A}_{K,S}) = \prod_{v \in S} X_v(K_v) \times \prod_{v \notin S} X_{S,v}(\mathscr{O}_{X,v}).$$

Proof. See [Conb], p. 6, (3.5.1) and Theorem 3.6. □

Lemma 3.13. *The homomorphism $l : \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_K) \to \log q \cdot \mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ has a unique extension $l_{a^\vee} : \mathscr{X}(\mathbf{A}_K) \to \mathbf{R}$, which induces by restriction to $\mathscr{X}(K)$ a homomorphism*

$$l_{a^\vee} : A(K) \to \mathbf{R},$$

since $l(\mathbf{G}_m(K)) = 0$, and one has by Bloch's definition of $h : A(K) \times A^\vee(K) \to \mathbf{R}$, equivalently $A^\vee(K) \to \mathrm{Hom}(A(K), \mathbf{R})$,

$$h(a, a^\vee) = l_{a^\vee}(a).$$

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram (at first without the dashed arrows) with exact rows and injective upper vertical morphisms and exact left column (define $\mathbf{G}_m^1$ as the kernel of l and $\mathscr{X}^1$ as

$$\mathscr{X}^1 = \{a \in \mathscr{X}(\mathbf{A}_K) : \exists n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}, na \in \tilde{\mathscr{X}^1}\}$$

the rational saturation of $\tilde{\mathscr{X}^1}$ with

$$\tilde{\mathscr{X}^1} = \mathbf{G}_m^1 \cdot \prod_{v \in X^{(1)}} \mathscr{X}(\mathscr{O}_{X,v})) :$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \vdots & & & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_m^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{X}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{A}(\mathbf{A}_K) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_K) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{X}(\mathbf{A}_K) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{A}(\mathbf{A}_K) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle l_{a^\vee}} & & & & \\
 & & \log q \cdot \mathbf{Z} & = & = \log q \cdot \mathbf{Z} & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

For this, it suffices to show:

(1) $\mathbf{G}_m^1 = \mathscr{X}^1 \cap \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_K) \subseteq \mathscr{X}(\mathbf{A}_K)$: One has $\mathbf{G}_m^1 \subseteq \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_K) \cap \mathscr{X}^1$ by definition of $\mathscr{X}^1$ and $\mathbf{G}_m^1$. For the other inclusion $\mathscr{X}^1 \cap \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_K) \subseteq \mathbf{G}_m^1$, note that

$$l\Big(\prod_{v \in X^{(1)}} \mathbf{G}_m(\mathscr{O}_{X,v})\Big) = 0,$$

hence

$$\mathbf{G}_m^1 \cdot \prod_{v\in X^{(1)}} \mathbf{G}_m(\mathscr{O}_{X,v}) \subseteq \mathbf{G}_m^1,$$

so

$$\tilde{\mathscr{X}^1} \cap \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_K) = \mathbf{G}_m^1 \cdot \prod_{v\in X^{(1)}} \mathbf{G}_m(\mathscr{O}_{X,v}) \subseteq \mathbf{G}_m^1,$$

but $\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_K)/\mathbf{G}_m^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is torsion-free, hence the result.

(2) $\mathscr{X}^1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{A}(\mathbf{A}_K)$: By the long exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence $1 \to \mathbf{G}_m \to \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{A} \to 0$ and Lemma 3.10, there is a surjection

$$\mathscr{X}\Big(\prod_{x\in X^{(1)}} \mathscr{O}_{X,x}\Big) \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{A}\Big(\prod_{x\in X^{(1)}} \mathscr{O}_{X,x}\Big) = \mathscr{A}(\mathbf{A}_K),$$

the latter equality by Proposition 3.12 and the valuative criterion for properness. But obviously $\mathscr{X}(\prod_{x\in X^{(1)}} \mathscr{O}_{X,x}) \subseteq \mathscr{X}^1$.

By the snake lemma, the diagram completed with the dashed arrows is also exact and there exists the sought-for extension of l. □

Definition 3.14. *Define* $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : A(K) \times A^\vee(K) \to \log q \cdot \mathbf{Z}$ *by*

$$a \in A(K) = \mathscr{X}(K)/\mathbf{G}_m(K) \to \mathscr{X}(\mathbf{A}_K)/\mathbf{G}_m(K) \overset{l_{a^\vee}}{\to} \log q \cdot \mathbf{Z}.$$

(Note that $\mathbf{G}_m(K) \subseteq \mathbf{G}_m^1$ by the product formula.)

Note that $\mathscr{A}(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{X_{fppf}}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathscr{A})$. The Yoneda pairing $\vee : \mathrm{Hom}_{X_{fppf}}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathscr{A}) \times \mathrm{Ext}^1_{X_{fppf}}(\mathscr{A}, \mathbf{G}_m) \to \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathbf{G}_m)$ maps $(a, a^\vee)$ to the extension $a \vee a^\vee$ defined by

$$\begin{array}{lccccccc} a\vee a^\vee: & 0 \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow a & \\ a^\vee: & 0 \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{A} & \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \tag{3.7}$$

By composition, one gets an extension

$$l_{a\vee a^\vee} : \mathscr{Y}(\mathbf{A}_K) \to \mathscr{X}(\mathbf{A}_K) \overset{l_{a^\vee}}{\to} \mathbf{R}$$

of $l : \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_K) \to \mathbf{R}$ to $\mathscr{Y}(\mathbf{A}_K)$, which induces because of $l(\mathbf{G}_m(K)) = 0$ in the exact sequence $a \vee a^\vee$ by restriction to $\mathscr{Y}(K)$ a homomorphism

$$l_{a\vee a^\vee} : \mathbf{Z} \overset{a}{\to} A(K) \overset{l_{a^\vee}}{\to} \mathbf{R},$$

so one obviously has

$$h(a, a^\vee) = l_{a^\vee}(a) = l_{a\vee a^\vee}(1).$$

The construction of $l_{a^\vee}$ in Lemma 3.13 shows

$$l_{a^\vee}\Big(\prod_{x\in X^{(1)}} \mathscr{X}(\mathscr{O}_{X,x})\Big) = 0, \quad \text{hence } l_{a\vee a^\vee}\Big(\prod_{x\in X^{(1)}} \mathscr{Y}(\mathscr{O}_{X,x})\Big) = 0,$$

by the diagram (3.7) defining $a \vee a^\vee$.

Lemma 3.15. *Let* $(1 \to \mathbf{G}_m \to \mathscr{Y} \to \mathbf{Z} \to 0) = e \in \mathrm{Ext}^1_{X_{fppf}}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{G}_m) = \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathbf{G}_m) = \mathrm{Pic}\, X$ *be a torseur representing* $\mathscr{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}\, X$*, and let* $l_e : \mathscr{Y}(\mathbf{A}_K) \to \mathbf{R}$ *be an extension of* l *which vanishes on* $\prod_{x\in X^{(1)}} \mathscr{Y}(\mathscr{O}_{X,x})$*. Then one has for the homomorphism* $l_e : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{R}$ *(since* $l_e(\mathbf{G}_m(K)) = l(\mathbf{G}_m(K)) = 0$*) defined by restriction to* $\mathscr{Y}(K)$*: Recall* $d = \dim X$*. Then one has*

$$l_e(1) = -\log q \cdot \deg(\mathscr{L} \cap \mathscr{O}_X(1)^{d-1}),$$

where $\mathscr{O}_X(1)^{d-1}$ *denotes the* $(d-1)$*-fold self-intersection of* $\mathscr{O}_X(1)$*.*

(Note that for every e there is a extension l_e as in the Lemma using the diagram (3.7) and Lemma 3.13.)

Proof. Considering e as a class of a line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on X, write $Y(\mathscr{L}) := V(\mathscr{L}) \setminus \{0\text{-section}\}$ for the $\mathbf{G}_m$-torsor on X defined by $\mathscr{L}$. Then e is isomorphic to the extension

$$1 \to \mathbf{G}_m \to \coprod_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} Y(\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n}) \to \mathbf{Z} \to 0.$$

For every $x \in |X|$, choose an open neighbourhood $U_x \subseteq X$ such that $1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ has a preimage $s_x \in \mathscr{Y}(U_x)$ (these exist by exactness of the short exact sequence e of sheaves). Let further $s \in \mathscr{Y}(K)$ be a preimage of $1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ (note that $0 \to \mathbf{G}_m(K) \to \mathscr{Y}(K) \to \mathbf{Z}(K) \to 0$ is exact by Hilbert 90). Then one has $s_x^{-1} \cdot s \in \mathbf{G}_m(K) = K^\times$. Since X is Jacobson, the U_x for $x \in |X|$ cover X. For every $x \in X^{(1)}$ choose an $\tilde{x} \in |X|$ such that $x \in U_{\tilde{x}}$ and set $s_x = s_{\tilde{x}}$ and $U_x = U_{\tilde{x}}$. These define a Cartier divisor as $(s_x^{-1} \cdot s) \cdot (s_y^{-1} \cdot s)^{-1} = s_x^{-1} \cdot s_y \mapsto 1 - 1 = 0 \in \mathbf{Z}$, so one has $(s_x^{-1} \cdot s) \cdot (s_y^{-1} \cdot s)^{-1} \in \mathbf{G}_m(U_x \cap U_y)$ by the exactness of $1 \to \mathbf{G}_m \to \mathscr{Y} \to \mathbf{Z} \to 0$, and $[(U_x, s_x^{-1})_x] = \mathscr{L}$ since

$$\Gamma(U_x, \mathscr{O}_X((U_x, s_x^{-1})) = \{f \in K : f s_x^{-1} \in \Gamma(U_x, \mathscr{O}_X)\} \overset{!}{=} \Gamma(U_x, \mathscr{L}).$$

One has to compare the line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ with the $\mathbf{G}_m$-torseur $\mathscr{Y}$. Now one calculates

$$\begin{aligned}
l_e(1) &= l_e(s) \quad \text{note that } l_e(\mathbf{G}_m(K)) = l(\mathbf{G}_m(K)) = 0 \text{ and } s \mapsto 1 \\
&= l_e((s_x^{-1} \cdot s)) \quad \text{since } l_e(\textstyle\prod_{x\in X^{(1)}} \mathscr{Y}(\mathscr{O}_{X,x})) = 0 \\
&= l((s_x^{-1} \cdot s)) \quad \text{since } s_x^{-1} \cdot s \in \mathbf{G}_m(K) \text{ and } l_e \text{ extends } l, \text{ note that } (s_x^{-1} \cdot s)_x \in \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_K) \\
&= -\log q \cdot \sum_{x\in X^{(1)}} \deg_\iota x \cdot v_x(s_x^{-1} \cdot s) \quad \text{by definition of } l.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the above description of e, since the $(U_x, s_x^{-1} \cdot s)$ define a Cartier divisor on X with associate line bundle isomorphic to $\mathscr{L}$, one has for $\deg : \mathrm{CH}^d(X) \to \mathbf{Z}$

$$\deg(\mathscr{L} \cap \mathscr{O}_X(1)^{d-1}) = \sum_{x\in X^{(1)}} \deg_\iota x \cdot v_x(s_x^{-1} \cdot s)$$

since $\deg_\iota x = \deg(\overline{\{\iota(x)\}} \cap H^{d-1})$ for a generic hyperplane $H \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}_k^N$ and $\mathscr{O}_X(1) = [H] \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X) = \mathrm{Pic}(X)$.

Combining the formulae gives the claim. □

Applying this lemma to the above situation $a \in \mathscr{A}(X), a^\vee \in A^\vee(K)$ gives us

$$h(a, a^\vee) = l_{a\vee a^\vee}(1) = -\log q \cdot \deg([a \vee a^\vee] \cap \mathscr{O}_X(1)^{d-1}) = -\log q \cdot \langle a, a^\vee \rangle,$$

so we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
A(K) & \times & A^\vee(K) & \xrightarrow{\quad h \quad} & \mathbf{R} \\
\| & & \cong\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \cdot(-\log q)} \\
A(K) & \times & \mathrm{Ext}^1_{X_{fppf}}(\mathscr{A}, \mathbf{G}_m) & \xrightarrow{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle} & \mathbf{Z}.
\end{array}$$

(Note that ι and $\mathscr{O}_X(1)$ occur in l and thus in h.)

Comparison of the generalised Bloch pairing and the generalised Néron-Tate height pairing. Let K_v be the (completion) of $K = k(X)$ at $v \in X^{(1)}$, a local field.

Let Δ be a divisor on A defined over K_v algebraically equivalent to 0 (this corresponds to $A^\vee(K_v) = \mathbf{Pic}^0_{A/k}(K_v)$). Then there is an extension

$$1 \to \mathbf{G}_m \to \mathscr{X}_\Delta \to \mathscr{A} \to 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Let $\mathscr{L}_\Delta$ be the line bundle associated to Δ. Then $\mathscr{X}_\Delta = V(\mathscr{L}_\Delta) \setminus \{0\}$ with $\mathscr{L}_\Delta = \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{A}}(\Delta)$ as a $\mathbf{G}_m$-torseur. The extension (3.8) only depends on the linear equivalence class of Δ.

Restricting to K_v, the extension (3.8) is split as a torseur over $A \setminus |\Delta|$ (since a line bundle associated to a divisor Δ is trivial on $X \setminus \Delta$) by $\sigma_\Delta : A \setminus |\Delta| \to \mathscr{X}_{\Delta,K_v}$ with σ_Δ canonical up to translation by $\mathbf{G}_m(K_v)$ (since the choice of σ_Δ is the same as the choice of a rational section of $\mathscr{L}_\Delta$). Let Z_{Δ,K_v} be the group of zero cycles $\mathfrak{A} = \sum n_i(p_i)$, $p_i \in A(K_v)$, on A defined over K_v such that $\sum n_i \deg p_i = 0$ and $\operatorname{supp}\mathfrak{A} \subseteq A \setminus |\Delta|$. We get a homomorphism $\sigma_\Delta : Z_{\Delta,K_v} \to \mathscr{X}_\Delta(K_v)$ (since $\mathscr{X}_\Delta$ is a group scheme).

We now prove a local analogue of Lemma 3.13.

Lemma 3.16. *There is a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{O}_{K_v}^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{X}_\Delta(\mathscr{O}_{K_v}) & \longrightarrow & A(K_v) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & K_v^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{X}_\Delta(K_v) & \longrightarrow & A(K_v) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_v} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle \psi_\Delta} & & & & \\
 & & \mathbf{Z} & = & = & = & \mathbf{Z} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

Proof. The map labeled l_v is the valuation map. The short exact sequence in the middle row is (3.8) evaluated at K_v, and the short exact sequence in the upper row is (3.8) evaluated at $\mathscr{O}_{K_v}$: One is left showing that $\mathscr{X}^1_\Delta \twoheadrightarrow A(K_v)$ is surjective. But this follows from the long exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence of sheaves on $\mathscr{O}_{K_v}$

$$1 \to \mathbf{G}_m \to \mathscr{X} \to A \to 0$$

and Hilbert's theorem 90: $\mathrm{H}^1(\operatorname{Spec}\mathscr{O}_{K_v}, \mathbf{G}_m) = 0$. Further, one has $\mathscr{A}(K_v) = \mathscr{A}(\mathscr{O}_{K_v}) = A(K_v)$ by the valuative criterion of properness and the Néron mapping property. □

Now let $\psi_\Delta : \mathscr{X}_\Delta(K_v) \to \mathbf{Z}$ be the map defined in the previous lemma. For $\mathfrak{A} \in Z_{\Delta,K_v}$ define

$$\langle \Delta, \mathfrak{A} \rangle_v = \psi_\Delta \sigma_\Delta(\mathfrak{A}).$$

Theorem 3.17. *Let $K = K(X)$. Let $a \in A(K)$ and $a^\vee \in A^\vee(K)$. Let Δ resp. $\mathfrak{A}$ be a divisor algebraically equivalent to 0 defined over K resp. a zero cycle of degree 0 over K on A such that $[\Delta] = a^\vee$ resp. $\mathfrak{A}$ maps to a. Assume* $\operatorname{supp}\Delta$ *and* $\operatorname{supp}\mathfrak{A}$ *disjoint. Then*

$$\langle a, a^\vee \rangle = \log q \cdot \sum_{v \in X^{(1)}} \langle \Delta, \mathfrak{A} \rangle_v$$

with $\langle a, a^\vee \rangle$ defined as in Definition 3.14.

Proof. Let

$$1 \to \mathbf{G}_m \to \mathscr{X}_\Delta \to \mathscr{A} \to 0$$

be the $\mathbf{G}_m$-torseur represented by $a^\vee$, and $\sigma_\Delta : Z_{\Delta,K} \to \mathscr{X}_\Delta(K)$ be as in the local case. One has to show that the map

$$l_{a^\vee} : \mathscr{X}_\Delta(\mathbf{A}_K) \to \log q \cdot \mathbf{Z}$$

(of the above definition in Lemma 3.13; note that $\mathscr{X}_\Delta(\mathbf{A}_K) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{X}(\mathbf{A}_K)$) coincides with the sum of the local maps

$$\psi_{\Delta,v} : \mathscr{X}_\Delta(K_v) \to \mathbf{Z}$$

multiplied by $\log q \cdot \deg_\iota v$ for $v \in X^{(1)}$ defined above.

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \mathbf{G}_m^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{X}_\Delta(\mathbf{A}_K)/\prod_v \mathscr{X}_\Delta(\mathscr{O}_{K_v}) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{X}_\Delta(\mathbf{A}_K)/\mathscr{X}_\Delta^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sum_v \deg_\iota v\cdot v(\cdot)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sum_v \deg_\iota v\cdot \psi_{\Delta,v}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_{a^\vee}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\textstyle\sum) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v\in X^{(1)}} \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\log q\cdot\sum} & \log q\cdot\mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

One has $\prod_v \mathscr{X}_\Delta(\mathscr{O}_{K_v}) \subseteq \mathscr{X}_\Delta^1$ and $\mathbf{G}_m^1 \subseteq \mathscr{X}_\Delta(\mathbf{A}_K)$ by the commutative diagram in Lemma 3.13, so the exactness of the upper row follows. The exactness of the lower row is clear.

The left square commutes obviously. The right square commutes since by Lemma 3.13 the extension of l to $\mathscr{X}(\mathbf{A}_K)$ is unique and $\sum_v \deg_\iota v\cdot\psi_{\Delta,v}$ is well-defined (since $\psi_{\Delta,v}$ vanishes on $\mathscr{X}_\Delta(\mathscr{O}_{K_v})$ and in the adele ring, almost all components lie in $\mathscr{X}_\Delta(\mathscr{O}_{K_v})$) and restricts to $l : \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_K) \to \mathbf{R}$ since $\psi_{\Delta,v}$ restricts to l_v by Lemma 3.16.

Now let $x \in \mathscr{X}_\Delta(\mathbf{A}_K)/\mathscr{X}_\Delta^1$ with $l_{a^\vee}(x) = h$. Lift it to $\tilde{x} \in \mathscr{X}_\Delta(\mathbf{A}_K)/\prod_v \mathscr{X}_\Delta(\mathscr{O}_{K_v})$. Then $\log q\cdot\sum_v \deg_\iota v\cdot\psi_{\Delta,v}(\tilde{x}) = h$ by commutativity of the right square. If one chooses another lift, their difference comes from $d \in \mathbf{G}_m^1$, which has height 0, so $\log q\cdot\sum_v \deg_\iota v\cdot\psi_{\Delta,v}(\tilde{x})$ only depends on x. □

Proposition 3.18. *The local pairings $\langle\Delta,\mathfrak{A}\rangle_v$ coincide with the local Néron height pairings $\langle\Delta,\mathfrak{A}\rangle_{Néron,v}$.*

Proof. This follows from Néron's axiomatic characterisation in [BG06], p. 304 f., Theorem 9.5.11, which holds for $\langle\Delta,\mathfrak{A}\rangle_v$ by the same argument as in [Blo80], p. 73 ff., (2.11)–(2.15). □

Corollary 3.19. *The height pairing coincides with the canonical Néron-Tate height pairing.*

Proof. This is clear since the local Néron-Tate height pairings sum up to the canonical Néron-Tate height pairing, see [BG06], p. 307, Corollary 9.5.14. □

Remark 3.20. Note that the cohomological pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\ell$ does not depend on an embedding $\iota : X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}_k^N$, but all other pairings depend on a line bundle ϑ or cohomology class $\eta \in \mathrm{H}^2(X,\mathbf{Z}_\ell(1))$, which manifests in the integral hard Lefschetz defect in the commutative square (1). The two choices, in the integral hard Lefschetz defect in the commutative square (1) and in the other pairings, cancel. Note that in the case of Corollary 3.4, one can choose η such that the integral hard Lefschetz defect is trivial.

3.2 The pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)_\ell$

One has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathscr{A}^\vee(X)\otimes\mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathrm{Tors}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{H}^1(X,T_\ell\mathscr{A}^\vee)_{\mathrm{Tors}} & \xrightarrow{(\cup\eta)^{d-1}} & \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X,T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_{\mathrm{Tors}} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathscr{A}^\vee(X)\otimes\mathbf{Q}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{H}^1(X,V_\ell\mathscr{A}^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\cong(\cup\eta)^{d-1}} & \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X,V_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1)) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathscr{A}^\vee(X)\otimes\mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Div}\,\mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathscr{A}^\vee[\ell^\infty]) & \xrightarrow{(\cup\eta)^{d-1}} & \mathrm{Div}\,\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X,\mathscr{A}^\vee[\ell^\infty](d-1)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

using Lemma 2.16 for the upper left arrow. For the lower left arrow: By Lemma 2.10, one has a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathscr{A}(X)\otimes\mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell \to \mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathscr{A}[\ell^\infty]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathscr{A})[\ell^\infty] \to 0.$$

Since $\mathscr{A}(X)\otimes\mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell$ is divisible, one gets an inclusion $\mathscr{A}(X)\otimes\mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Div}\,\mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathscr{A}[\ell^\infty])$. Since $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)[\ell^\infty] = \mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathscr{A})[\ell^\infty]$ is finite, if an element from $\mathrm{Div}\,\mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathscr{A}[\ell^\infty])$ is mapped to $\mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathscr{A})[\ell^\infty]$, it has finite order and is divisible, so it is 0, hence it comes from $\mathscr{A}(X)\otimes\mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell$.

The upper and middle right arrows are induced by the hard Lefschetz theorem Theorem 3.2, and the lower one by functoriality of the coker-functor. So the middle one is an isomorphism, hence the upper one is injective and the lower one surjective by the snake lemma.

For the exactness of the columns: Left column: This column arises from tensoring

$$0 \to \mathbf{Z}_\ell \to \mathbf{Q}_\ell \to \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell \to 0$$

with $\mathscr{A}(X)_{\mathrm{Tors}} \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{rk}\,\mathscr{A}(X)}$, and $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}, -) = 0$. (By the theorem of Mordell-Weil, $\mathscr{A}(X)$ is a finitely generated Abelian group). Middle and right column: This follows from Lemma 2.12.

Applying the snake lemma gives us that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_{\mathrm{Tors}}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \to \mathrm{Hom}((\mathscr{A}^\vee(X) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathrm{Tors}}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \quad \text{and}$$
$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Div}\,\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, \mathscr{A}^\vee[\ell^\infty](d-1)), \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{A}^\vee(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell, \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell)$$

are injective with with finite (since it is finitely generated and killed by tensoring with $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$) cokernels of the same order (even isomorphic): Write

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

in short for the big diagram.

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}(-, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ to the short exact sequence $0 \to A' \to B' \to \mathrm{coker}(f) \to 0$ gives

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{coker}(f), \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \to \mathrm{Hom}(B', \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \to \mathrm{Hom}(A', \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{coker}(f), \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(B', \mathbf{Z}_\ell).$$

Since $\mathrm{coker}(f)$ is finite, the first term vanishes and $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{coker}(f), \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \cong \mathrm{coker}(f)$, and since B' is torsion-free and finitely generated, hence projective, the last term vanishes.

Applying the exact functor $\mathrm{Hom}(-, \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ to the short exact sequence $0 \to \ker(g) \to A'' \to B'' \to 0$ gives

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(B'', \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell) \to \mathrm{Hom}(A'', \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\ker(g), \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell) \to 0$$

and $\mathrm{Hom}(\ker(g), \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell) \cong \ker(g)$.

Poincaré duality for the absolute situation [Mil80], p. 183, Corollary V.2.3 (easily generalised to higher dimensions) gives perfect pairings

$$\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^n]) \times \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, \mathscr{A}^\vee[\ell^n](d-1)) \to \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell.$$

This is the same as isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathscr{A}[\ell^n]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, \mathscr{A}^\vee[\ell^n](d-1)), \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell),$$

and passing to the projective limit gives us an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, \mathscr{A}^\vee[\ell^\infty](d-1)), \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell).$$

Let $A = \mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})$ and $B = \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, \mathscr{A}^\vee[\ell^\infty](d-1))$. These are finitely and cofinitely generated, respectively. One has

$$A_{\mathrm{Tors}} = B^\vee / \varinjlim B^\vee[\ell^n] = B^\vee / (\varprojlim B/\ell^n)^\vee = B^\vee/\hat{B}^\vee$$

since $0 \to \mathrm{Div}\, B \to B \xrightarrow{f} \hat{B}$ is exact with $\hat{B}$ the ℓ-adic completion of B. As $B \cong (\mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell)^r \oplus T$ with T finite, one has $\hat{B} \cong T$ and f surjective. Dualising gives $0 \to \hat{B}^\vee \to B^\vee \to (\mathrm{Div}\, B)^\vee \to 0$, so $B^\vee/\hat{B}^\vee = (\mathrm{Div}\, B)^\vee$. Therefore one has an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_{\mathrm{Tors}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Div}\,\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, \mathscr{A}^\vee[\ell^\infty](d-1)), \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell) \tag{3.9}$$

induced by the cup product.

Now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_{\mathrm{Tors}}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}((\mathscr{A}^\vee(X)\otimes \mathbf{Z}_\ell)_{\mathrm{Tors}}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \\
\uparrow & & \\
\mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_{\mathrm{Tors}} & & \downarrow \cong \\
\downarrow \cong (3.9) & & \\
\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Div}\,\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, \mathscr{A}^\vee[\ell^\infty](d-1)), \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{A}^\vee(X)\otimes \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell, \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell).
\end{array}$$

The right vertical map is an isomorphism: A homomorphism $f : \mathbf{Z}_\ell \to \mathbf{Z}_\ell$ induces a map $\mathbf{Q}_\ell \to \mathbf{Q}_\ell$ by tensoring with $\mathbf{Q}$ and hence a map between the cokernels $\mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell \to \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell$. This is an isomorphism since, by the Mordell-Weil theorem, $\mathscr{A}(X)_{\mathrm{Tors}} \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{rk}\,\mathscr{A}(X)}$, and since $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell, \mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell) = (\mathbf{Q}_\ell/\mathbf{Z}_\ell)^\vee = (\varinjlim \frac{1}{\ell^n}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z})^\vee = \varprojlim \mathbf{Z}/\ell^n\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}_\ell$.

It follows from Poincaré duality for the absolute situation [Mil80], p. 183, Corollary V.2.3 that one has a perfect pairing

$$(\cdot,\cdot)_\ell : \mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_{\mathrm{Tors}} \times \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_{\mathrm{Tors}} \xrightarrow{\cup} \mathrm{H}^{2d+1}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) = \mathbf{Z}_\ell,$$

so

$$\mathrm{H}^2(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_{\mathrm{Tors}} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_{\mathrm{Tors}}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$$

is injective with cokernel of order $\det(\cdot,\cdot)_\ell$. By comparison of the terms, it follows that $\det(\cdot,\cdot)_\ell = 1$.

4 The case of a constant Abelian scheme

In this section, we specialise to the case where $\mathscr{A} = A \times_k X$ is a *constant* Abelian scheme. Let $\Gamma = G_k$ be the absolute Galois group of the finite ground field $k = \mathbf{F}_q$, $q = p^n$.

Lemma 4.1. *1. There is an isomorphism $\mathscr{A}[m] \xrightarrow{\sim} A[m] \times_k X$ of finite flat group schemes resp. of constructible sheaves (for $\mathrm{char}\, k \nmid m$) on X.*

2. There is an isomorphism $T_\ell\mathscr{A} = (T_\ell A) \times_k X$ of ℓ-adic sheaves on X for $\ell \neq \mathrm{char}\, k$.

3. There is an isomorphism of Abelian groups

$$\mathscr{A}(X) = \mathrm{Mor}_X(X, \mathscr{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Mor}_k(X, A), (f : X \to \mathscr{A}) \mapsto \mathrm{pr}_1 \circ f,$$

and under this isomorphism $\mathrm{Tor}\,\mathscr{A}(X)$ corresponds to the subset of constant morphisms

$$\mathrm{Tor}\,\mathscr{A}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{f : X \to A \mid f(X) = \{a\}\} = \mathrm{Hom}_k(k, A) = A(k).$$

Example 4.2. The rank of the Mordell-Weil group of a constant Abelian variety over a projective space has rank 0, since there are no non-constant k-morphisms $\mathbf{P}^n_k \to A$, see [Mil86], p. 107, Corollary 3.9.

Corollary 4.3. *Assume X has a k-rational point x_0. Then there is a commutative diagram with exact rows*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & A(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{A}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathrm{Alb}_{X/k}, A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \cong & & \| & & \downarrow \cong & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}\,\mathscr{A}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{A}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{A}(X)_{\mathrm{Tors}} & \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array}$$

and, if k is a finite *field,*

$$\mathrm{rk}\,\mathscr{A}(X) = r(f_A, f_{\mathrm{Alb}_{X/k}}),$$

where $r(f_A, f_B)$ for A and B Abelian varieties over a finite field is defined in [Tat66a], p. 138.

4.1 Heights

Theorem 4.4. *Let $f : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{A}'$ be an X-isogeny of Abelian schemes. The* **Weil pairing**

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_f : \ker(f) \times_X \ker(f^\vee) \to \mathbf{G}_m$$

is a non-degenerate and biadditive pairing of finite flat X-group schemes, i. e. it defines a canonical X-isomorphism

$$\ker(f^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\ker(f))^\vee.$$

Moreover, it is functorial in f.

If $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$, it induces a perfect pairing of torsion-free finitely generated $\mathbf{Z}_\ell[\Gamma]$-modules.

$$T_\ell \mathscr{A} \times T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee) \to \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1) \tag{4.1}$$
$$\operatorname{Hom}(T_\ell \mathscr{A}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) = T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(-1) \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. See [Mum70], p. 186 (for Abelian varieties) and [Oda69], p. 66 f., Theorem 1.1 (for Abelian schemes). □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\mathscr{A} = A \times_k X$ be a constant Abelian scheme. Then one has $\mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, T_\ell \mathscr{A}) = \mathrm{H}^i(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} T_\ell A$ as ℓ-adic sheaves on the étale site of k.*

Theorem 4.6 (The height pairing)**.** *Let X/k be a smooth projective geometrically connected variety with Albanese A such that $\mathbf{Pic}_{X/k}$ is reduced. Denote the constant Abelian scheme $B \times_k X/X$ by $\mathscr{A}/X$. Then the trace pairing*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_k(A, B) \times \operatorname{Hom}_k(B, A) \xrightarrow{\circ} \operatorname{End}(A) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}} \mathbf{Z}$$

tensored with $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$ equals the cohomological pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\ell : \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell \mathscr{A})_{\mathrm{Tors}} \times \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_{\mathrm{Tors}} \to \mathrm{H}^{2d}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1^*} \mathrm{H}^{2d}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) = \mathbf{Z}_\ell.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell \mathscr{A})_{\mathrm{Tors}} &= \mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell \mathscr{A})^\Gamma \quad \text{by (2.3)} \\
&= \left(\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} (T_\ell A)(-1)\right)^\Gamma \quad \text{by Lemma 4.5} \\
&= \left(T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} (T_\ell A)(-1)\right)^\Gamma \quad \text{by the Kummer sequence} \\
&= \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell[\Gamma]-\mathbf{Mod}} \left(((T_\ell A)(-1))^\vee, T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X)\right) \\
&= \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell[\Gamma]-\mathbf{Mod}} \left(\operatorname{Hom}(T_\ell(A^\vee), \mathbf{Z}_\ell)^\vee, T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X)\right) \quad \text{by (4.2)} \\
&= \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell[\Gamma]-\mathbf{Mod}} \left(T_\ell(A^\vee), T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X)\right) \\
&= \operatorname{Hom}_k(A^\vee, \operatorname{Pic}(X)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \quad \text{by the Tate conjecture [Tat66a].}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell \mathscr{A})^\Gamma$ is torsion-free since $\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell \mathscr{A})$ is so, and this holds because of the Künneth formula and since $\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1)) = T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is torsion-free. Therefore, in (2.3) above, $\ker \alpha = \mathrm{H}^0(\bar{X}, T_\ell \mathscr{A})_\Gamma$ is the whole torsion subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell \mathscr{A})$.

$T_\ell \mathscr{A}$ has weight -1 and $T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1)$ has weight $-1-2(d-1) = -2d+1$ and we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\bar{X}, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathrm{H}^{2d}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2d}(\bar{X}, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))^\Gamma & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & \overset{f}{\nwarrow} & & & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2d-2}(\bar{X}, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_\Gamma & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\bar{X}, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))^\Gamma & \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array} \tag{4.3}$$

where only the four groups connected by f, α and β can be infinite.

The perfect Poincaré duality pairing

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1)) \times \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d-1)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2d}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \tag{4.4}$$

identifies $\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d-1))$ with $T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X)^\vee$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let X/k be a smooth projective geometrically connected variety with a k-rational point. Then the reduced Picard variety $(\mathbf{Pic}^0_{X/k})_{\mathrm{red}}$ is dual to $\mathrm{Alb}(X)$ and $\mathbf{Pic}^0_{X/k}$ is reduced iff $\dim \mathbf{Pic}^0_{X/k} = \dim_k \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \mathscr{O}_X)$.*

Proof. By [Moc12], Proposition A.6 (i) or [FGI+05], p. 289 f., Remark 9.5.25, $(\mathbf{Pic}^0_{X/k})_{\mathrm{red}}$ is dual to $\mathrm{Alb}(X)$. By [FGI+05], p. 283, Corollary 9.5.13, the Picard variety is reduced (and then smooth and an Abelian scheme) iff equality holds in $\dim \mathbf{Pic}^0_{X/k} \leq \dim_k \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \mathscr{O}_X)$. □

Remark 4.8. The integer $\alpha(X) := \dim_k \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \mathscr{O}_X) - \dim \mathbf{Pic}^0_{X/k}$ is called the **defect of smoothness**.

Example 4.9. One has e. g. $\alpha(X) = 0$ if (b) from Theorem 4.18 below is satisfied. This holds true for X an Abelian variety or a curve.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_{\mathrm{Tors}} &= \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\bar{X}, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))^\Gamma \quad \text{by (2.3)} \\
&= \left(\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d-1)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} T_\ell(A^\vee)\right)^\Gamma \quad \text{by Lemma 4.5} \\
&= (T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X)^\vee \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} T_\ell(A^\vee))^\Gamma \quad \text{by (4.4)} \\
&= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell[\Gamma]-\mathbf{Mod}}(T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X), T_\ell(A^\vee)) \\
&= \mathrm{Hom}_k(\operatorname{Pic}(X), A^\vee) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \quad \text{by the Tate conjecture [Tat66a].}
\end{aligned}$$

Example 4.10. In particular, if the characteristic polynomials of the Frobenius on $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ and $A^\vee$ are coprime, $\mathrm{Hom}_k(A^\vee, \operatorname{Pic}(X)) = 0 = \mathrm{Hom}_k(\operatorname{Pic}(X), A^\vee)$ and the discriminants of the parings $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\ell$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_\ell$ are equal to 1.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $f : A \to B$ an homomorphism of an Abelian variety A and $e_A : T_\ell A \times T_\ell A^\vee \to \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1)$ and $e_B : T_\ell B \times T_\ell B^\vee \to \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1)$ be the perfect Weil pairings. Then*

$$e_B(f(a), b) = e_A(a, f^\vee(b))$$

for all $a \in T_\ell A$ and $b \in T_\ell B^\vee$.

Proof. See [Mum70], p. 186, (I). □

Corollary 4.12. *Let $f : A \to A$ an endomorphism of an Abelian variety A. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{T_\ell(A)}(f) = \mathrm{Tr}_{T_\ell(A^\vee)}(f^\vee).$$

In the general case, we have to investigate if the following diagram commutes.

(1) commutes since $\cup$-product commutes with restrictions.

(2) commutes because of the associativity of the $\cup$-product.

(3) commutes since, in general, one has a commutative diagram of finitely generated free modules over a ring R

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times B & \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} & R \\
\downarrow \cong & & \| \\
C \times C^\vee & \longrightarrow & R
\end{array}$$

identifying B with the dual of $C \cong A$ with a perfect pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the canonical pairing $C \times C^\vee \to K$.

(4) commutes since, in general, one has a commutative diagram of finitely generated free modules over a ring R

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(M \otimes_R N^\vee) \times (M^\vee \otimes_R N) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{End}_{R-\mathbf{Mod}}(M) \otimes_R \mathrm{End}_{R-\mathbf{Mod}}(N) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_M \otimes_R \mathrm{Tr}_N} & R \\
\downarrow \cong & & & & \| \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{R-\mathbf{Mod}}(N, M) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{R-\mathbf{Mod}}(M, N) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathrm{End}_{R-\mathbf{Mod}}(N) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_N} & R.
\end{array}$$

(5) commutes because of precomposing with the isomorphism $(T_\ell \mathscr{A}(-1))^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)$ coming from the perfect Weil pairing.

(6) commutes because of [Lan58], p. 186 f., Theorem 3.

(7) commutes because of $\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha\beta) = \mathrm{Tr}(\beta\alpha)$, see [Lan58], p. 187, Corollary 1.

(8) commutes because of Corollary 4.12 and since $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is dual to $\mathrm{Alb}(X)$ by Theorem 4.7 since $\mathbf{Pic}_{X/k}$ is reduced.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_\ell\mathscr{A})_{\mathrm{Tors}} \times \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(X, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))_{\mathrm{Tors}} & \overset{\cup}{\to} \mathrm{H}^{2d}(X, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2d}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) \to & \mathbf{Z}_\ell \\
\downarrow \mathrm{pr}_1^* \quad (1) & & \| \\
\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, T_\ell\mathscr{A})^\Gamma \times \mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\bar{X}, T_\ell(\mathscr{A}^\vee)(d-1))^\Gamma & \overset{\cup}{\to} \mathrm{H}^{2d}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) \to & \mathbf{Z}_\ell \\
\uparrow \cong \quad (2) & & \| \\
\left(\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} (T_\ell A)(-1)\right)^\Gamma \times \left(\mathrm{H}^{2d-1}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d-1)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} T_\ell(A^\vee)\right)^\Gamma & \to \mathrm{H}^{2d}(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(d)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \to & \mathbf{Z}_\ell \\
\downarrow \cong \quad (3) & & \| \\
\left(T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} (T_\ell A)(-1)\right)^\Gamma \times \left(T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X)^\vee \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} T_\ell(A^\vee)\right)^\Gamma & \to \operatorname{End}(T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \overset{\mathrm{Tr}}{\to} & \mathbf{Z}_\ell \\
\downarrow \cong \quad (4) & & \| \\
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell[\Gamma]-\mathbf{Mod}}(\underbrace{((T_\ell A)(-1))^\vee}_{T_\ell(A^\vee)}, T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X)) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell[\Gamma]-\mathbf{Mod}}\left(T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X), T_\ell(A^\vee)\right) & \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell[\Gamma]-\mathbf{Mod}}(\underbrace{((T_\ell A)(-1))^\vee}_{T_\ell(A^\vee)}, T_\ell(A^\vee)) \to & \mathbf{Z}_\ell \\
\downarrow \cong \quad (5) & & \| \\
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell[\Gamma]-\mathbf{Mod}}\left(T_\ell(A^\vee), T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X)\right) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell[\Gamma]-\mathbf{Mod}}\left(T_\ell \operatorname{Pic}(X), T_\ell(A^\vee)\right) & \overset{\circ}{\to} \operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell[\Gamma]-\mathbf{Mod}}(T_\ell A^\vee) \overset{\mathrm{Tr}}{\to} & \mathbf{Z}_\ell \\
\uparrow \cong \quad (6) & & \| \\
\operatorname{Hom}_k(A^\vee, \operatorname{Pic}(X)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \times \operatorname{Hom}_k(\operatorname{Pic}(X), A^\vee) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell & \overset{\circ}{\to} \operatorname{End}_k(A^\vee) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \overset{\mathrm{Tr}}{\to} & \mathbf{Z}_\ell \\
\uparrow \cong \sigma \quad (7) & & \| \\
\operatorname{Hom}_k(\operatorname{Pic}(X), A^\vee) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \times \operatorname{Hom}_k(A^\vee, \operatorname{Pic}(X)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell & \overset{\circ}{\to} \operatorname{End}_k(\operatorname{Pic}(X)^\vee) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \overset{\mathrm{Tr}}{\to} & \mathbf{Z}_\ell \\
\uparrow \cong (-)^\vee \quad (8) & & \| \\
\operatorname{Hom}_k(\mathrm{Alb}(X), A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \times \operatorname{Hom}_k(A, \mathrm{Alb}(X)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell & \overset{\circ}{\to} \operatorname{End}_k(\mathrm{Alb}(X)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_\ell \overset{\mathrm{Tr}}{\to} & \mathbf{Z}_\ell
\end{array}
$$

□

The case of a curve as a basis. Let X/k be a smooth projective geometrically connected *curve* with function field $K = k(X)$, base point $x_0 \in X(k)$, Albanese A, Abel-Jacobi map $\varphi : X \to A$ with canonical principal polarisation $c : A \xrightarrow{\sim} A^\vee$, and B/k be an Abelian variety. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(A, B)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(B, A)$ be homomorphisms.

Let

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(\beta \circ \alpha : A \to A) \in \mathbf{Z}$$

be the trace pairing, the trace being taken as an endomorphism of A as in [Lan58]. By [Lan58], p. 186 f., Theorem 3, this equals the trace taken as an endomorphism of the Tate module $T_\ell A$ or $\mathrm{H}^1(\bar{A}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ (they are dual to each other, so for the trace, it does not matter which one we are taking, see [Sch82b], p. 497).

We now show that our trace pairing is equivalent to the usual Néron-Tate height pairing on curves and is thus a sensible generalisation in the case of a higher dimensional base.

Proposition 4.13. *Let X, Y be Abelian varieties over k and $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(X, Y)$. Then*

$$(f \times \mathrm{id}_{Y^\vee})^* \mathscr{P}_Y \cong (\mathrm{id}_X \times f^\vee)^* \mathscr{P}_X$$

in $\mathrm{Pic}(X \times_k Y^\vee)$.

Proposition 4.14. *Let $c_A = m^*\vartheta^- - \mathrm{pr}_1^*\vartheta^- - \mathrm{pr}_2^*\vartheta^- \in \mathrm{Pic}(A \times_k A)$. Then*

$$(\varphi, \mathrm{id}_A)^* c_A = -\delta_1 \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$(\mathrm{id}_A, \varphi_{\vartheta^-})^* \mathscr{P}_A = c_A. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. See [BG06], p. 279, Propositions 8.10.19 and 8.10.20. □

Theorem 4.15 (The trace and the height pairing for curves)**.** *Let X/k be a smooth projective geometrically connected* curve *with Albanese A. Then the trace pairing*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(A,B) \times \mathrm{Hom}_k(B,A) \xrightarrow{\circ} \mathrm{End}(A) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}} \mathbf{Z}$$

equals the following height pairing

$$\gamma(\alpha) : X \xrightarrow{\varphi} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B,$$
$$\gamma'(\beta) : X \xrightarrow{\varphi} A \xrightarrow{c} A^\vee \xrightarrow{\beta^\vee} B^\vee,$$
$$(\gamma(\alpha), \gamma'(\beta))_{ht} = \deg_X(-(\alpha\varphi, \beta^\vee c\varphi)^* \mathscr{P}_B),$$

where $\varphi : X \to A$ is the Abel-Jacobi map associated to a rational point of X and $c : A \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ the canonical principal polarisation associated to the theta divisor, and this is equivalent to the usual Néron-Tate canonical height pairing.

Proof. By [Mil68], p. 100, we have

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \deg_X((\mathrm{id}_X, \beta\alpha\varphi)^* \delta_1),$$

where $\delta_1 \in \mathrm{Pic}(X \times_k A)$ is a divisorial correspondence such that

$$(\mathrm{id}_X, \varphi)^* \delta_1 = \Delta_X - \{x_0\} \times X - X \times \{x_0\},$$

which we define to be Δ^* (Δ_X^* is the idempotent cutting out $h(X) - h^0(X) - h^2(X) = h^1(X)$ for X a smooth projective geometrically connected curve).

There is the property Proposition 4.14 of the Theta divisor Θ of the Jacobian A of C on A (which is defined in [BG06], p. 272, Remark 8.10.8) and let $\Theta^- = [-1]^*\Theta$ with ϑ and ϑ^- denoting the respecting divisor class). The Theta divisor induces the canonical principal polarisation $\varphi_\vartheta = c : A \xrightarrow{\sim} A^\vee$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(\gamma(\alpha), \gamma'(\beta)) &= (\alpha\varphi, \beta^\vee c\varphi)^* \mathscr{P}_B \quad \text{by definition} \\
&= (\alpha\varphi \times c\varphi)^* (\mathrm{id}_X, \beta^\vee)^* \mathscr{P}_B \\
&= (\alpha\varphi \times c\varphi)^* (\beta, \mathrm{id}_{A^\vee})^* \mathscr{P}_A \quad \text{by Proposition 4.13} \\
&= (\beta\alpha\varphi, c\varphi)^* \mathscr{P}_A \\
&= (\beta\alpha\varphi, \varphi_\vartheta\varphi)^* \mathscr{P}_A \\
&= (\beta\alpha\varphi, \varphi)^* (\mathrm{id}_A \times \varphi_\vartheta)^* \mathscr{P}_A \\
&= (\beta\alpha\varphi, \varphi)^* c_A \quad \text{by (4.6)} \\
&= (\varphi, \beta\alpha\varphi)^* c_A \quad \text{by symmetry} \\
&= -(\mathrm{id}_X, \beta\alpha\varphi)^* \delta_1 \quad \text{by (4.5)}
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(\gamma(\alpha), \gamma'(\beta))_{ht} &= \deg_X(-(\mathrm{id}_X, \beta\alpha\varphi)^* \delta_1) \\
&= -\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle .
\end{aligned}$$

By [MB85], p. 72, Théorème 5.4, this pairing equals the Néron-Tate canonical height pairing. □

4.2 The special L-value

Lemma 4.16. *Let $f : A \to B$ be an isogeny of Abelian varieties over a field k and $\ell \neq \mathrm{char}\, k$. Then f induces an Galois equivariant isomorphism $V_\ell A \xrightarrow{\sim} V_\ell B$ of rationalised Tate modules.*

Lemma 4.17. *Let $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ be a finite field and A/k be an Abelian variety of dimension g. Denote the eigenvalues of the Frobenius Frob_q on $V_\ell A$ by $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^{2g}$. Then $\alpha_i \mapsto q/\alpha_i$ is a bijection.*

Theorem 4.18. *Let $k = \mathbf{F}_q$, $q = p^n$ be a finite field and X/k a smooth projective and geometrically connected variety and assume $\bar{X} = X \times_k \bar{k}$ satisfies*

(a) the Néron-Severi group of $\bar{X}$ is torsion-free and

(b) the dimension of $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Zar}}(\bar{X}, \mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}})$ as a vector space over $\bar{k}$ equals the dimension of the Albanese of $\bar{X}/\bar{k}$.

If B/k is an Abelian variety, then $\mathrm{H}^1(X, B)$ is finite and its order satisfies the relation

$$q^{gd} \prod_{a_i \neq b_j} \left(1 - \frac{a_i}{b_j}\right) = \left|\mathrm{H}^1(X, B)\right| \left|\det \langle \alpha_i, \beta_j \rangle\right|,$$

where A/k is the Albanese of X/k, g and d are the dimensions of A and B, respectively, $(a_i)_{i=1}^{2g}$ and $(b_j)_{j=1}^{2d}$ are the roots of the characteristic polynomials of the Frobenius of A/k and B/k, $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^{r}$ and $(\beta_i)_{i=1}^{r}$ are bases for $\mathrm{Hom}_k(A, B)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_k(B, A)$, and $\langle \alpha_i, \beta_j \rangle$ is the trace of the endomorphism $\beta_j \alpha_i$ of A.

Proof. See [Mil68], p. 98, Theorem 2. □

Remark 4.19. Note that $\mathrm{Hom}_k(A, B)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_k(B, A)$ are free $\mathbf{Z}$-modules of the same rank $r = r(f_A, f_B) \leq 4gd$ by [Tat66a], p. 139, Theorem 1 (a), with f_A and f_B the characteristic polynomials of the Frobenius of A/k and B/k. (Another argument for them having the same rank is that the category of Abelian varieties up to isogeny is semi-simple.) Furthermore, $\mathrm{H}^1(X, B) = \mathrm{H}^1(X, B \times_k X) = Ш(B \times_k X/X)$ since for $U \to X$, one has $B(U) = (B \times_k X)(U)$ by the universal property of the fibre product.

We call an Abelian scheme $\mathscr{A}/X$ **isotrivial** if there is a proper, surjective, generically étale ℓ'-morphism of regular schemes $f : X' \to X$ such that $\mathscr{A} \times_X X'$ is constant. The following implies the statement after Theorem 1.

Theorem 4.20. *Let $f : X' \to X$ be a proper, surjective, generically étale ℓ'-morphism of regular schemes (an ℓ'-alteration). Let ℓ be some prime invertible on X. If $\mathscr{A}$ is an Abelian scheme on X such that the ℓ-torsion of the Tate-Shafarevich group $Ш(\mathscr{A}'/X')$ of $\mathscr{A}' := f^*\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A} \times_X X'$ is finite, then the ℓ-torsion of the Tate-Shafarevich group $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)$ is finite.*

Proof. See [Kel14$_1$]. □

Example 4.21. (a) and (b) are satisfied for $X = A$ an Abelian variety or a curve: (a) because of [Mum70], p. 178, Corollary 2, and (b) since $A^\vee = \mathbf{Pic}^0_{A/k}$ is an Abelian variety, in particular smooth and reduced. See also Theorem 4.7, Remark 4.8 and Example 4.9.

Definition 4.22. *Define the* **regulator** $R(B)$ *by* $|\det(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)|$.

Combining the above, we get

Corollary 4.23. *In the situation of Theorem 4.18, one has*

$$q^{gd} \prod_{a_i \neq b_j} \left(1 - \frac{a_i}{b_j}\right) = |Ш(B \times_k X/X)|\, R(B).$$

Definition 4.24. *Define the* $\boldsymbol{L}$**-function of** $\boldsymbol{B \times_k X/X}$ *as the L-function of the motive*

$$h^1(B) \otimes (h^0(X) \oplus h^1(X)) = h^1(B) \oplus (h^1(B) \otimes h^1(X)),$$

namely

$$L(B \times_k X/X, s) = \frac{L(h^1(B) \otimes h^1(X), s)}{L(h^1(B), s)}.$$

Here, the Künneth projectors are algebraic by [KM74], p. 76, Theorem 2.

Theorem 4.25. *The two L-functions Definition 2.1 and Definition 4.24 agree for constant Abelian schemes.*

Remark 4.26. Note that

$$\operatorname*{ord}_{t=1} L(\mathscr{A}/X, t) = \operatorname*{ord}_{s=1} L(\mathscr{A}/X, q^{-1}q^s).$$

Remark 4.27. Now let us explain how we came up with this definition of the L-function.

I omit the characteristic Polynomials $L_i(\mathscr{A}/X,t)$ in higher dimensions $i>1$ since otherwise cardinalities of cohomology groups would turn up in the special L-value for which I have no interpretation as in the case $i=0$ and the cardinality of the ℓ-torsion of the Mordell-Weil group or in the case $i=1$ and the cardinality of the ℓ-torsion of the Tate-Shafarevich group. In the case of a curve C as a basis, my definition is the same as the classical definition of the L-function up to an $L_2(t)$-factor. This factor contributes basically only a factor $|\operatorname{Tor}\mathscr{A}^\vee(X)[\ell^\infty]|$ in the denominator. In the classical curve case $\dim X=1$, the L-function can also be represented as a product over all closed points $x\in|X|$ of Euler factors.

We expand

$$\begin{aligned}L(B\times_k X/X,s)&=\frac{L(h^1(B)\otimes h^1(X),s)}{L(h^1(B),s)}\\&=\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{2d}\prod_{i=1}^{2g}(1-a_ib_jq^{-s})}{\prod_{j=1}^{2d}(1-b_jq^{-s})}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.17, one has for the numerator

$$\prod_{j=1}^{2d}\prod_{i=1}^{2g}(1-a_ib_jq^{-s})=\prod_{j=1}^{2d}\prod_{i=1}^{2g}\Big(1-\frac{a_i}{b_j}q^{1-s}\Big),\tag{4.7}$$

and the denominator has no zeros at $s=1$ by the Riemann hypothesis. Therefore

$$\operatorname*{ord}_{s=1}L(B\times_k X/X,s)=r(f_A,f_b)$$

is equal to the number $r(f_A,f_B)$ of pairs (i,j) such that $a_i=b_j$, which equals by [Tat66a], p. 139, Theorem 1 (a) the rank r of $(B\times_k X)(X)$:

$$\begin{aligned}r(f_A,f_B)&=\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Hom}_k(A,B)\\&=\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Hom}_k(X,B)\quad\text{by the universal property of the Albanese}\\&=\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Hom}_X(X,B\times_k X),\end{aligned}$$

see Corollary 4.3.

Lemma 4.28. *The denominator evaluated at $s=1$ equals*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{2d}(1-b_jq^{-1})=\frac{|\operatorname{Tor}(B\times_k X)(X)|}{q^d}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{j=1}^{2d}(1-b_jq^{-1})&=\prod_{j=1}^{2d}\Big(1-\frac{1}{b_j}\Big)\quad\text{by Lemma 4.17}\\&=\prod_{j=1}^{2d}\frac{b_j-1}{b_j}\\&=\prod_{j=1}^{2d}\frac{1-b_j}{b_j}\quad\text{since }2d\text{ is even}\\&=\frac{\deg(\mathrm{id}-\mathrm{Frob}_q)}{q^d}\quad\text{by Lemma 4.17 and [Lan58], p. 186 f., Theorem 3}\\&=\frac{|B(\mathbf{F}_q)|}{q^d}\quad\text{since }\mathrm{id}-\mathrm{Frob}_q\text{ is separable}\\&=\frac{|\operatorname{Tor}(B\times_k X)(X)|}{q^d}\quad\text{by Lemma 4.1 3.}\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.29. Note that, if X/k is a smooth *curve*, $(B \times_k X)(X) = B(K)$ with $K = k(X)$ the function field of X by the valuative criterion since X/k is a smooth curve and B/k is proper. For general X, setting $\mathscr{A} = B \times_k X$ and $K = k(X)$ the function field, $(B \times_k X)(X) = \mathscr{A}(X) = A(K)$ also holds true because of the Néron mapping property.

Remark 4.30. One has $|\mathrm{Tor}\,(B \times_k X)(X)| = |B(k)| = |B^\vee(k)| = |\mathrm{Tor}\,(B \times_k X)^\vee(X)|$ by Lemma 4.13 and Lemma 2.4.

Remark 4.31. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - q^{1-s} &= 1 - \exp(-(s-1)\log q) \\ &= (\log q)(s-1) + O\big((s-1)^2\big) \quad \text{for } s \to 1 \end{aligned}$$

using the Taylor expansion of exp.

Putting everything together,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s\to 1} \frac{L(\mathscr{A}/X, s)}{(s-1)^r} &= \frac{q^d (\log q)^r}{|\mathrm{Tor}\,\mathscr{A}(X)|} \prod_{a_i \neq b_j} \Big(1 - \frac{a_i}{b_j}\Big) \quad \text{by Lemma 4.28 and (4.7)} \\ &= q^{(g-1)d} (\log q)^r \frac{|Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)| \cdot R(B)}{|\mathrm{Tor}\,\mathscr{A}(X)|} \quad \text{by Corollary 4.23.} \end{aligned}$$

We conclude with our main theorem.

Theorem 4.32 (The conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer for constant Abelian schemes over higher-dimensional bases, version with the height pairing)**.** *In the situation of Theorem 4.18, one has:*

1. *The Tate-Shafarevich group* $Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)$ *is finite.*
2. *The vanishing order equals the Mordell-Weil rank* r*:* $\operatorname{ord}_{s=1} L(\mathscr{A}/X, s) = \mathrm{rk}\, A(K)$.
3. *There is the equality for the leading Taylor coefficient*

$$L^*(\mathscr{A}/X, 1) = q^{(g-1)d} (\log q)^r \frac{|Ш(\mathscr{A}/X)| \cdot R(B)}{|\mathrm{Tor}\,\mathscr{A}(X)|}.$$

Combining Theorem 2.18 and Theorem 4.32 and using Theorem 4.25, one can identify the remaining two expressions in Theorem 2.18:

Corollary 4.33. *Assuming (a) and (b) of Theorem 4.18, in Theorem 2.18 resp. Lemma 2.16, all equalities hold and one has*

$$\begin{aligned} |\det(\cdot,\cdot)_\ell|_\ell^{-1} &= 1, \\ \big|\mathrm{H}^2(\bar{X}, T_\ell \mathscr{A})^\Gamma\big| &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.34. In fact, $\big|\mathrm{H}^2(\bar{X}, T_\ell \mathscr{A})^\Gamma\big| = 1$ for *constant* Abelian schemes (under the assumption (a) above that $\mathrm{NS}(\bar{X})$ is torsion-free) can also be seen directly, using the long exact sequence associated to the Kummer sequence.

Acknowledgements. I thank Uwe Jannsen, Walter Gubler, Peter Jossen, Moritz Kerz, Klaus Künnemann, Jakob Stix, Tamás Szamuely, Georg Tamme and, from mathoverflow, abx, Angelo, anon, Martin Bright, Kestutis Cesnavicius, Torsten Ekedahl, Laurent Moret-Bailly, ulrich and xuhan; finally the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes for financial and ideational support.

References

[BG06] Bombieri, Enrico and Gubler, Walter: *Heights in Diophantine geometry.* New Mathematical Monographs **4**. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. xvi, 652 p. 2006.

[Blo80] Bloch, Spencer: *A note on height pairings, Tamagawa numbers, and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture.* In: Invent. Math., **58** (1980), 65–76.

[BN78] Bayer, Pilar and Neukirch, Jürgen: *On values of zeta functions and ℓ-adic Euler characteristics.* In: Invent. Math., **50** (1978), 35–64.

[Cona] Conrad, Brian: *Chow's K/k-image and K/k-trace, and the Lang-Neron theorem (via schemes).* URL `http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/Kktrace.pdf`.

[Conb] ——— *Weil and Grothendieck approaches to adelic points*. URL `http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/adelictop.pdf`.

[Del80] Deligne, Pierre: *La conjecture de Weil : II.* In: Publ. Math. IHÉS, **52**(2) (1980), 137–252.

[FGI+05] Fantechi, Barbara; Göttsche, Lothar; Illusie, Luc et al.: *Fundamental algebraic geometry: Grothendieck's FGA explained.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **123**. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS). x, 339 p. 2005.

[Har83] Hartshorne, Robin: *Algebraic geometry. Corr. 3rd printing.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **52**. New York-Heidelberg-Berlin: Springer-Verlag. XVI, 496 p. 1983.

[Kel14$_1$] Keller, Timo: *On the Tate-Shafarevich group of Abelian schemes over higher dimensional bases over finite fields*. Preprint, 2014.

[KM74] Katz, Nicholas M. and Messing, William: *Some consequences of the Riemann hypothesis for varieties over finite fields.* In: Invent. Math., **23** (1974), 73–77.

[Lan58] Lang, Serge: *Abelian varieties.* Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **7**. New York-London: Interscience Publishers. XII, 256 p. 1958.

[MB85] Moret-Bailly, Laurent: *Métriques permises.* Szpiro, Lucien (ed.), Séminaire sur les pinceaux arithmétiques: La conjecture de Mordell, Paris, 1983–84, Astérisque **127**, 29–87. 1985.

[Mil68] Milne, James S.: *The Tate-Šafarevič Group of a Constant Abelian Variety.* In: Invent. Math., **6** (1968), 91–105.

[Mil80] ——— *Étale cohomology.* Princeton Mathematical Series. **33**. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press. XIII, 323 p. 1980.

[Mil86] ——— *Abelian varieties.* Arithmetic geometry, Pap. Conf., Storrs/Conn. 1984, 103–150 (1986). 1986.

[Mil88] ——— *Motivic cohomology and values of zeta functions.* In: Compos. Math., **68**(1) (1988), 59–102.

[Moc12] Mochizuki, Shinichi: *Topics in absolute anabelian geometry I: Generalities.* In: J. Math. Sci., Tokyo, **19**(2) (2012), 139–242.

[Mum70] Mumford, David: *Abelian varieties*. Oxford University Press. viii, 242 p. 1970.

[NSW00] Neukirch, Jürgen; Schmidt, Alexander and Wingberg, Kay: *Cohomology of Number Fields*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. **323**, Springer-Verlag 2000.

[Oda69] Oda, Tadao: *The first De Rham cohomology group and Dieudonne modules.* In: Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), **2** (1969), 63–135.

[Sch82a] Schneider, Peter: *On the values of the zeta function of a variety over a finite field.* In: Compos. Math., **46** (1982), 133–143.

[Sch82b] ——— *Zur Vermutung von Birch und Swinnerton-Dyer über globalen Funktionenkörpern.* In: Math. Ann., **260** (1982), 495–510.

[Tat66a] Tate, John T.: *Endomorphisms of Abelian varieties over finite fields.* In: Invent. Math., **2** (1966), 134–144.

[Tat66b] ——— *On the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer and a geometric analog.* Dix Exposés Cohomologie Schémas, Advanced Studies Pure Math. **3**, 189–214 (1968); Sém. Bourbaki 1965/66, Exp. No. 306, 415–440. 1966.

Timo Keller, Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany
E-Mail address: firstname.lastname@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de